



CRM-M-66248-2025

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

214

CRM-M-66248-2025
Decided on: 02.02.2026

Satpal Singh and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Charanpreet Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Vinay Malhotra, DAG Punjab

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of FIR No.221 dated 18.09.2025, under Sections 115(2), 126(2), 110 of BNS, 2023, at PS Sidhwan Bet, District Ludhiana.

2. On 13.11.2025, following order was passed:

“(i) Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:

Name of Petitioner(s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
i) Satpal Singh ii) Taro Bai	221	18.09.2025	115(2), 126(2), 110 of BNS, 2023	Sidhwan Bet	Ludhiana

(ii) Learned counsel for the petitioners, inter alia, contends that as per the allegations in FIR, incident took place on 15.09.2025 at about 10:00 p.m., when the complainant/injured, Kuldeep Singh, was returning to his house. On the way,



Jaspal Singh @ Billu, son of Satpal Singh, allegedly gave a stick blow on the back of the complainant; Jaspreet Singh @ Jassa allegedly hit the complainant on the head with the reverse side of a kirpan, and thereafter gave another kirpan blow on the right shoulder towards the back side. It is further alleged that Jaspreet Singh also inflicted a kirpan blow between the thigh and right leg and buttock of the complainant. FIR was registered belatedly, after a period of three days, i.e., on 18.09.2025. Subsequently, on 24.09.2025, after about a week, a supplementary statement of the complainant/injured was recorded, in which he stated that due to injuries on his head and the effect of medicines, names of the accused persons were not correctly mentioned earlier. He clarified that actual names of the accused are Satpal Singh @ Billu (petitioner No.1) son of Gurdial Singh; Jasvir Singh @ Jassa son of Satpal Singh, and Taro Bai (petitioner No.2), wife of Satpal Singh.

(iii) Learned counsel further submits that none of the injuries sustained by the complainant has been classified as grievous or life threatening. Petitioners have been newly implicated in the supplementary statement, and there is no direct attribution of the alleged offence to them. It is, therefore, contended that the involvement of the petitioners is highly doubtful, particularly as the question of identity arises. Furthermore, since none of the injuries has been deemed serious or grievous, and co-accused, namely Jasvir Singh, has already been granted the concession of interim bail by this Court vide order dated 13.11.2025 (P-2) passed in CRM-M-64099 2025, and as the petitioners are ready and willing to join the investigation and cooperate fully, it is prayed that they be granted the concession of anticipatory bail in the present case.

(iv) Notice of motion.

(v) On advance notice, Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Sr. DAG, Punjab, puts in appearance on behalf of the respondent – State, and seeks some time to file status report in the matter.

(vi) Adjourned to 02.02.2026.

(vii) Meanwhile, petitioners are directed to join the investigation as and when required to do so by the Investigating Agency. In the event of their arrest, petitioners shall be released on interim bail, subject to their furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. Petitioners shall also abide by all the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.).

(viii) Besides, it is directed that petitioners would hand over their passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if they possess. Otherwise, would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that they do not possess any passport. It is also directed that before leaving country any time during trial, petitioners would



CRM-M-66248-2025

3

seek prior permission of the Court ”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in pursuance to the directions issued by this Court vide order dated 26.11.2025, the petitioners have joined investigation.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions, confirms the said averment made by counsel for the petitioners of joining the investigation by the petitioners on 09.12.2025, and submits that as of now, custodial interrogation of the petitioners is not required for the purpose of investigation.

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

6. Since, petitioners have already joined the investigation and custodial interrogation is no more required; ad-interim bail order dated 26.11.2025 passed by this Court is hereby made absolute. Accordingly, present petition is allowed.

7. The petitioners shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the terms and conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

8. However, present order would be subject to the submission of their passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if they possess, within a period of one week from today. Otherwise, they would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that they do not possess any passport.

9. It is clarified that in case, aforesaid condition is not complied with, this order would be considered as *non est* automatically.

02.02.2026

reena

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

YES/NO
~~YES~~/NO