

119

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-34974-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision:27.04.2026
...PETITIONER(S)

OMKAR

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
....RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ROHIT KAPOOR

Present: Mr. Dharmanshu Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Pankaj Middha, Addl., AG, Haryana.
Mr. Dheeraj Jain, Senior Advocate assisted by
Mr. Prajwal Chauhan, Central Government Counsel
for the respondent-Union of India.

ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA, J. (Oral)

1. Considering the fact that lease period, for which right was being claimed by the petitioner, has already come to an end, learned counsel for the petitioner states that no further grievance survives in the present writ petition.
2. In that view of the matter, the instant writ petition is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.
3. It is, however, provided that the demarcation exercise, which was initiated by the State of Haryana, shall be taken to its logical end.
4. It goes without saying that State authorities shall ensure that no illegal mining is allowed to be carried out in the area.
5. All pending misc. application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

[ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA]
JUDGE

[ROHIT KAPOOR]
JUDGE

APRIL 27, 2026
Rahul Joshi

1. Whether Speaking/reasoned
2. Whether Reportable
- Yes/No
Yes/No