



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M-66101-2025

Date of Decision:-06.04.2026

Amritpal Singh & Anr.

.....Petitioner.

Vs.

State of Punjab & Ors.

.....Respondents.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present:- Mr. Sultan Singh, Advocate for the Petitioners.

Mr. Athar Ahmed, AAG Punjab.

Ms. Smile, Advocate for

Mr. Shehbaz Thind, Advocate for respondent nos.2 to 4.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J.(ORAL)

The prayer in this petition under Section 528 of the BNSS, 2023 is for quashing of General Diary Report No.18 dated 09.05.2025 under Sections 115(2), 126(2), 351(2), BNS, 2023 registered at Police Station Samrala, District Ludhiana in case FIR No.66 dated 31.03.2025 under Sections 333, 126(2), 115(2), 351(3), 324 (5), 191 (3), 190 BNS registered at P.S. Samrala, District Ludhiana and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 24.05.2025 (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.

Vide orders dated 21.11.2025 this Court had directed the parties



to appear before Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in terms of certain parameters given in the aforesaid orders dated 21.11.2025 with regard to the compromise dated 24.05.2025 (Annexure P-2).

In terms of the orders dated 21.11.2025 passed by this Court parties have appeared before the court of Additional Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.)-cum-Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Samrala and as per report dated 05.01.2026 submitted to this Court, both the parties have got recorded their respective statements in Court.

Perusal of the aforesaid report would show that the parties have effected a genuine compromise without there being any pressure, coercion or undue influence. In view of the compromise there is a remote possibility of the complainant coming forward to support the prosecution case. The powers under Section 482 Cr.PC can be exercised in such like situation in order to prevent unnecessary vagaries of criminal trial to be faced by the parties, when there are remote chances of conviction of the accused. The compromise in question is found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in ***Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*** and ***Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543.***

In view of the aforesaid reports of the learned Additional Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.)-cum-Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Samrala accompanied by statements of both the parties, the General Diary Report No.18 dated 09.05.2025 under Sections 115(2), 126(2), 351(2), BNS, 2023 registered at Police Station Samrala, District Ludhiana in case FIR No.66 dated 31.03.2025 under Sectios 333, 126(2), 115(2), 351(3), 324 (5), 191 (3), 190 BNS registered at P.S. Samrala, District Ludhiana and all



CRM-M-66101-2025 #3#

consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.

Petition stands disposed of.

**(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE**

April 06, 2026
Vinay

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>