

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

131

2026:PHHC:037468



CRM-M-67038-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 11.03.2026.

SANDEEP SINGH

...Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Rishu Mahajan, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Mohit Kapoor, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

**VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)**

The instant first petition is filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, (Section 439 Cr.P.C.) seeking grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.187 dated 11.07.2025, under Section(s) 21(C)/23/27-A and 29 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985, registered at Police Station ANTF, SAS. Nagar, District Amritsar.

As per the case set up by the prosecution, one Lovejit Singh @ Love was arrested on 11.07.2025 and his arrest led to recovery of two packets containing 566 grams and 585 grams of heroin respectively. During the course

of investigation on the basis of disclosure statement made by said Lovejit Singh @ Love, Vikramjeet Singh @ Vicky world and Sandeep Singh (petitioner herein) were nominated as accused. They were arrested on 16.07.2025 while driving a car owned by Vikramjeet Singh @ Vicky.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner contends that only a sum of Rs.3,48,000/- had been recovered from the petitioner which is alleged to be drug money. He contends that said explanation cannot be accepted at this juncture since as per the disclosure of Lovejit Singh @ Love, the contraband had been supplied by Vikramjeet Singh @ Vicky. He submits that Lovejit Singh in his second round of interrogation named one Gurbaj Singh in his disclosure statement, who was, thereafter, nominated as an accused. He submits that said Vikramjeet Singh @ Vicky (Bikramjit Singh) and Gurbaj Singh have already been granted concession of regular bail vide order dated 06.03.2026 and 15.12.2025 passed in CRM-M-58075-2025 and CRM-M-67314-2025 respectively. He contends that the case is still at initial stage as the charge has been framed on 07.01.2026. He submits that there are total 22 witnesses cited by the prosecution and that evidence is yet to commence.

State counsel, on the other hand, does not dispute the facts as noticed above.

Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration that no recovery of any contraband has been effected from the petitioner, clean antecedents of the petitioner, the stage of the trial where evidence is yet to be recorded and that Vikramjeet Singh @ Vicky from whom Lovejit Singh @ Love disclosed to have procured the contraband has already been granted the concession of regular bail vide order dated 06.03.2026 passed in CRM-M-58075-2025 and that co-accused Gurbaj Singh has also

been granted the concession of regular bail vide order dated 15.12.2025 passed in CRM-M-67314-2025, I deem it appropriate to allow the present petition. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing requisite bail bond/surety bond to the satisfaction of the Trial Court/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

It is made clear that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witnesses in any manner directly or indirectly.

The observation made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and the trial Court shall decide the case on the basis of available material.

March 11, 2026.  
raj arora

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)  
JUDGE

*Whether speaking/reasoned* : Yes/No  
*Whether reportable* : Yes/No