



257 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-67682-2025
Date of decision: 11.05.2026

ARINDAM PREET SINGH

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. GREWAL

Present: Mr. Akash Patyal, Advocate for
 Mr. S.P.S. Tinna, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

Mr. Surinderjit S. Nahar, AAG, Punjab.

H.S. GREWAL, J (Oral):

The present petition has been filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for quashing of FIR No.147, dated 27.10.2025, under Section 125 of BNS, 2023, under Sections 25 and 27 of Arms Act, registered at Police Station City-II, Tehsil Abohar, District Fazilka (Punjab) (Annexure P-1) along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise deed dated 09.11.2025 (Annexure P-2).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the FIR is outcome of an unfortunate accident. It is submitted that the dispute between the parties has now been resolved and with the intervention of the respectables of the Society, the matter has indeed been compromised. He has referred to the compromise deed dated 09.11.2025 (Annexure P-2), in



this regard and prays for quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

3. This Court vide order dated 29.01.2026 had directed the parties to appear before the trial Court to get their statements recorded and the learned Magistrate was directed to send its report qua the genuineness of the compromise.

4. Pursuant to the aforesaid order, the parties have appeared before the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Abohar and got their statements recorded. A copy of report dated 20.03.2026 has been submitted to the effect that the compromise has been effected between the parties voluntarily and without any coercion or undue influence.


5. Status report by way of an affidavit of Harish Kumar, P.P.S., Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub-Division Abohar on behalf of respondent-State has been filed in the Court today. The same is taken on record.

6. Learned State counsel has not disputed the factum of compromise between the parties.

7. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the material available on record.

8. In view of the above, no useful purpose would be served to continue with the proceedings before the trial Court in the instant FIR.

9. Following the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others Versus State of Punjab and another 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052** and approved by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and others**



(2012) 10 SCC 303, this petition is allowed and FIR No.147, dated 27.10.2025, under Section 125 of BNS, 2023, under Sections 25 and 27 of Arms Act, registered at Police Station City-II, Tehsil Abohar, District Fazilka (Punjab) (Annexure P-1) along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom qua the petitioner is hereby quashed. The recovered weapon is ordered to be confiscated to the State.

10. Pending application, if any, shall stand disposed of accordingly.

(H.S. GREWAL)
JUDGE

11.05.2026
monika

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|---------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes /No |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes /No |