

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

107

2026:PHHC:039115



CRM-M-65672-2025 (O&M).
Date of decision: 13.03.2026.

VANEET CHOPRA

...Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Akshay Jain, Advocate,
(Through Video Conference)
for the petitioner.

Mr. Mohit Kapoor, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sandeep K. Tada, Advocate,
for the complainant.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, (Section 438 Cr.P.C.) for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.94 dated 01.11.2025, under Section(s) 417, 465, 468 and 471 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Division No.4, Police Commissionerate, Jalandhar, District Jalandhar, Punjab.

Status report already filed on behalf of the respondent-State is

taken on record.

Mr. Sandeep K. Tada, Advocate, enters appearance and files power of attorney on behalf of the complainant.

Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) submits that pursuant to the order dated 20.11.2025 passed by this Court, the petitioner(s) has joined investigation and custodial interrogation of the petitioner(s) is not required for further investigation of the case.

Learned State counsel, on instruction from assisting police official, corroborates the said averment and submits that the custodial interrogation of the petitioner(s) is not required for the purpose of investigation.

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Since the petitioner(s) has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required, the present petition is allowed and the interim order dated 20.11.2025 is made absolute.

However, the petitioner(s) shall abide by the terms and conditions, as laid down under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

March 13, 2026.
raj arora

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No