

2026.PHHC.029290



CRM-M-65700-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

122

CRM-6269-2026 in/and
CRM-M-65700-2025 (O & M)
Date of decision: 24.02.2026

SANJIT RAJAK @ SANJIT RANJAK

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Narender K. Rana, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. B.S.Saroha, DAG, Haryana.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

CRM-6269-2026

Allowed as prayed for. Annexures P-5 to P-11 are taken on record, subject to just exceptions.

Main Case:

1. Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 483 BNSS is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.670 dated 20.08.2024, registered at Police Station Sadar Karnal, under Sections 103(1), 238(b), 238(c) and 3(5) BNS.

2. Learned counsel contends that the petitioner has been in custody for 1 year and more than 4 months. He alleges false implication. He is not named in the FIR, rather came to be implicated on his own disclosure statement made before the police. There is neither any last seen nor circumstantial evidence connecting him to the alleged incident. The

CRM-M-65700-2025

owner of sugar-field where body of the deceased was allegedly recovered, has turned hostile while appearing as PW 1, so has the Patwari. Charges have been framed on 20.03.2025, however, only 7 PWs stand examined and there are still 14 more to go. He is not involved in any other case.

3. Learned State counsel opposes the bail on the ground that the petitioner had actively participated in the commission of offence, alongwith co-accused. However, he is unable to controvert the submissions with regard to stage of the case and the petitioner being not involved in any other case.

4. Heard.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, in particular that the petitioner is in custody for the last 1 year, 4 months and 7 days; not involved in any other case; charges stand framed on 20.03.2025, but out of 21 PWs, 7 have been examined; the trial is likely to take a considerable time and further incarceration of the petitioner would be violative of his right enshrined under Article 21 of the Constitution of India, the present petition is allowed.

6. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail, subject to furnishing bail/heavy surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case and shall abide by the following conditions:-

- (i) The petitioner will not tamper with the evidence during the trial.
- (ii) The petitioner will not pressurize/ intimidate the prosecution witnesses.

CRM-M-65700-2025

- (iii) The petitioner will appear before the trial Court on each and every date fixed, unless is exempted by a specific order of Court.
- (iv) The petitioner shall not commit an offence similar to the offence of which, he is an accused, or for commission of which he is suspected of.
- (v) The petitioner shall not directly or indirectly coerce, induce, threaten or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/ her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence in any manner.
- (vi) The petitioner shall not in any manner misuse his liberty.
- (vii) The petitioner shall furnish his address and mobile number by way of an affidavit to the trial Court and not change the same till conclusion of trial and if for any reasons, he seeks to change either of the aforesaid, it shall be done only with prior information to the learned trial Court.
- (viii) The petitioner shall not leave the country without prior permission of the trial Court.
- (ix) The trial Court/Duty Magistrate may impose any other condition, as deemed appropriate while releasing the petitioner.

7. It is made abundantly clear that in case there is any breach of the aforesaid conditions, the State shall be at liberty to seek cancellation of bail as granted to the petitioner by this order.

8. In view of the above, it is clarified that the observations made herein above are limited for the purpose of present proceedings and would not be construed as any opinion on the merits of the case and the trial would proceed independently of the aforesaid observations.

24.02.2026

parveen kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE