

2026:PHHC:010813



131

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH****CRM-M-65771-2025**

Raj Kumar alias Sonu

....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

Date of decision: January 27, 2026**Date of Uploading: January 27, 2026****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL****Present:** Ms. Diksha, Advocate for
Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Adhiraj Singh Thind, AAG Punjab.

SUMEET GOEL, J. (ORAL)

The substantive prayer made in the petition in hand reads thus:

“In view of submissions made above, it is therefore, respectfully prayed that the present petition may kindly be allowed and necessary directions be issued to the respondents Nos. 2 to 4 not to unnecessarily harass the petitioner on account of false and frivolous complaints filed by respondent No.5 against the petitioner and his brothers as already the police has conducted detailed and thorough inquiry on the earlier complaint No. 344-DCP dated 24.04.2025, filed by respondent no. 5 on the same set of allegations which complaint after detailed and thorough inquiry was consigned to office as the petitioner and his brothers were found to be innocent by observing that the matter was purely of civil nature and now again a second complaints been filed by respondent No. 5 with similar set of allegations, which is being conducted by respondent no. 4 in an illegal and arbitrary manner and the petitioner is being unnecessarily harassed by respondent No. 4 by calling him to the police station in connection with the second complaint on the same facts.

Further a direction to respondent No.4 not to proceed further with the complaint filed by respondent No.5 against the petitioner in connection with which the petitioner is again being called to the Police Station without any reasonable cause and justification and also being violative of

the instructions dated 01.04.2008 issued by the Director General of Police, Punjab.

And further a direction to the respondent No. 2 and 3 to take strictest legal action against the respondent no. 5 for filing false and frivolous complaints after one and another in a malafide manner in order to humiliate and harass the petitioner.”

2. Learned State counsel has filed short reply by way of an affidavit dated 11.12.2025 of Vineet Ahlawat, IPS, Additional Deputy Commissioner of Police, Operation & Security, Jalandhar, relevant whereof reads thus:

“8. That it is submitted that thereupon, in connection with the said complaint no. 1396-PGB dated 24.10.2025, the both parties were called and their statements were recorded. Now new facts came to light during the examination and deponent occurred with the previous findings that this matter is purely civil in nature as it relates to money transaction. Hence the said complaint no. 1396-PGB dated 24.10.2025 of the respondent no.5 was closed on 20.11.2025 by the office of the Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar on the basis of examination report of the deponent. Copy of report dated 17.11.2025 is attached herewith as Annexure R-2 for kind perusal of this Hon’ble Court.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner, in view of averments contained in the said short reply; especially para-8 thereof; seeks to withdraw the petition in hand, at this stage.

4. Ordered accordingly.

5. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

January 27, 2026

mahavir

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No