



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

250

CWP-34690-2025

Date of Decision: 11.03.2026

RUBI SINGH AND OTHERS

...Petitioners

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Chetan Mittal, Sr. Advocate with
Siddharth Batra, Advocate,
Mr. Abhinav Sood, Advocate and
Mr. Mayank, Advocate
for the petitioners

Mr. Vivek Chauhan, Addl. A.G. Haryana

Mr. Satyapal Jain, Addl. Solicitor General (*through V.C.*) with
Ms. Meghna Malik, Sr. Panel Counsel
for respondent-ED

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioners through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India are seeking direction to respondent to register their sale deeds.

2. The petitioners are claiming that respondent No.3-Tehsildar Faridabad is not registering their sale deeds on the ground that Enforcement Directorate has passed provisional attachment order with respect to property in question. The adjudicating authority has set aside attachment order, thus, there is no question to withhold registration of sale deeds of property in question.

3. Learned counsel for the respondent-Enforcement Directorate submit that they have no objection if Tehsildar, Faridabad registers sale deeds of property in question. They have found petitioners genuine home buyers.
4. Learned State counsel submits that Tehsildar, Faridabad would reconsider the matter and pass an appropriate order before 31.03.2026.
5. Learned Senior Counsel for the petitioners agrees with the aforesaid arrangement.
6. In the wake of statement of both sides, the petition stands disposed of.
7. Pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

March 11, 2026
Deepak DPA

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No