



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

168

CRM-M-65942-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 27.03.2026

Suraj Kumar and Another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Karan Vir Nanda, Advocate for the petitioners

Mr. B.S. Saroha, DAG Haryana

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 BNSS for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in case FIR No.0440 dated 24.08.2020 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 406, 420 of IPC (Sections 409, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B added later on) and (Sections 218 of IPC and 13(1) of the Prevention of Corruption Act added vide order dated 20.02.2026) at Police Station Sector-5, Panchkula.

2. On 05.03.2026, this Court had passed the following order:-

“The present petition has been filed under Section 482 BNSS for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case registered against him vide FIR No.0440 dated 24.08.2020 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 406, 420 of IPC (Sections 409, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B added later on) and (Sections 21-B of IPC and 13(1) of the Prevention of Corruption Act added vide order dated 20.02.2026) at Police Station Sector-5, Panchkula.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners too remained in custody in FIR No.20, where the allegations are similar to the those levelled in the instant FIR, though the embezzlement pertains to a different period. He further contends that one Rohtash Singh Yadav has already been granted the concession of anticipatory bail by this Court vide orders dated 13.02.2026 and 24.02.2026.

Adjourned to 27.03.2026.

In the meantime, the petitioners are directed to appear before the SHO/Investigating Officer to join investigation and in the event of their arrest, they shall be released on bail on their furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of SHO/Investigating Agency, subject to the following

conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS:-

- (i) that the petitioners shall make themselves available for interrogation before the investigating officer as and when required;
- (ii) that the petitioners shall not, directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;
- (iii) that the petitioners shall not leave the country without prior permission of the Court and shall surrender their passport, if any.”

3. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the afore-mentioned order, the petitioners have not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioners to appear, they shall make themselves available without demur.
4. Learned State counsel on instructions affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioners on 15.03.2026 and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioners are not required for further custodial interrogation.
5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioners is allowed and the order dated 05.03.2026 granting interim bail to them, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 482(2) BNSS.
6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioners fail to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to them.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

27.03.2026
M.Kamra

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No