



IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT  
CHANDIGARH

220

CRM-M-66379-2025  
Date of Decision: 20.01.2026

DEEPAK ALIAS DEEPU

... Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. GREWAL.

\*\*\*\*

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. P.S. Pandher, AAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*\*

H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

At the very outset, learned State Counsel submits that the petitioner has been acquitted by the trial Court vide judgment/order dated 19.12.2025.

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.

However, in view of the specific statement made by learned State Counsel, the instant petition has become infructuous.

Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

Liberty is, however, granted to the petitioner to seek revival of the instant petition in case the statement of learned State Counsel is found to be factually incorrect.

(H.S. GREWAL)  
JUDGE

JANUARY 20, 2026.  
*Rajender*

*Whether speaking/reasoned* : Yes/No

*Whether reportable* : Yes/No