



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

105

CWP-34496-2025

Date of decision : 12.01.2026

Baljeet Kaur

....Petitioner

V/S

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Senior Advocate assisted by
Ms. Priya Kaushik and Ms. Tanya, Advocates
for the petitioner.

Mr. Satnampreet Singh Chauhan, D.A.G., Punjab.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner has filed the instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ of certiorari, quashing the impugned orders dated 11.10.2022 (Annexure P-8), 03.04.2025 (Annexure P-13) and 19.08.2025 (Annexure P-21) conveyed vide impugned communication dated 30.09.2025 (Annexure P-22). Further, seeking a writ of mandamus, directing the respondents to reinstate the petitioner in service w.e.f. the date of order of termination and to grant her all benefits from the due date along with interest @ 18% per annum.

2. On 19.11.2025, the following order was passed :-

“Learned Senior counsel for the petitioner submits that in pursuance to the order dated 07.08.2025 passed by this Court in CWP No.16681 of 2025 (Baljeet Kaur Vs. State of Punjab and others), the appellate authority has rejected the claim of the petitioner vide Annexure P-21



CWP-34496-2025

-2-

which has been communicated to the petitioner vide letter dated 30.09.2025 (Annexure P-22) which is a cryptic and non-speaking order and there is no application of mind by the appellate authority while passing the said order.

On receipt of advance copy of the petition, Mr. Swapan Shorey, D.A.G., Punjab has put in appearance on behalf of the respondents-State and submits that the petitioner has an alternative remedy of filing a second appeal. However, he seeks time to get instructions from the appellate authority if the impugned order can be recalled and fresh order can be passed by the appellate authority and to produce the relevant provision with regard to filing of second appeal.

Adjourned to 02.12.2025.”

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that the impugned order dated 19.08.2025 (Annexure P-21) which has been communicated to the petitioner vide letter dated 30.09.2025 (Annexure P-22) shall be treated as cancelled and fresh order shall be passed by respondent No.2, after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, within a period of two months in accordance with law.
4. In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press the instant petition.
5. Dismissed as not pressed.

12.01.2026
kothiyal

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No