



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

210

CRM-M-68130-2025

HARBHEJ SINGH

...PETITIONER

V/s

STATE OF HARYANA

...RESPONDENT

Date of decision: 13.01.2026

Date of uploading:13.01.2026

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Ankur Jain, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Priyanka Sadar, Senior DAG, Haryana.

SUMEET GOEL, J.

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.441 dated 26.12.2019 registered for offences punishable under Sections 307, 506, 34 and 120-B IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, at Police Station Pinjore, District Panchkula.

2. On 11.12.2025, the following order was passed:-

“Apprehending his arrest in FIR No.441 dated 26.12.2019 registered for offences punishable under Sections 307, 506, 34 and 120-B IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, at Police Station Pinjore, District Panchkula; the petitioner has preferred the second petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking pre-arrest bail.

Counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that the non- appearance of the petitioner was inadvertent and on account of circumstances beyond his control, the petitioner is a young man aged 18 years & willing to appear before the concerned Court and join proceedings in accordance with law.

Notice of motion.

On the strength of advance copy; Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Addl.AG, Haryana causes appearance on behalf of the respondent-State.



List on 13.01.2026.
In case, the petitioner cause appearance before the concerned Court on or before 22.12.2025, he shall be admitted to interim bail to the satisfaction of the said Court.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has caused appearance before the concerned Trial Court in terms of the interim order and has been admitted to interim bail. He further submits that the petitioner shall continue to appear before the said Court in accordance with law and shall face the trial proceedings. In view of the above, the order dated 11.12.2025 is hereby confirmed.
4. Ordered accordingly.
5. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.
6. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 or upon showing any other sufficient cause.
7. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.
8. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

13.01.2026
jatin

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No