

2026.PHHC.007580



CRM-M-65441-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

232

CRM-M-65441-2025 (O & M)

Date of decision: 20.01.2026

JASPREET SINGH

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Rajdeep Singh Gill, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sarduland Singh Mann, Advocate,
for the complainant.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 483 BNSS is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.159 dated 27.09.2024, registered at Police Station Lehra, District Sangrur, under Sections 103, 191(3) and 3(5) of BNS, 2023.

2. Learned counsel contends that the petitioner has been in custody for 1 year, 3 months and 16 days. He alleges false implication. His name surfaced based on the disclosure statement of co-accused, Gurjant Singh, as per which an axe was taken from him and injuries inflicted, however, the complainant who is real brother of the deceased, was known to the petitioner, but had specifically named only the aforesaid

CRM-M-65441-2025

co-accused for causing injuries. Another co-accused, Kewal Singh who was also named by Gurjant Singh in his disclosure statement, who had also given a blow with baseball bat and was not named in the FIR, has since been granted bail by this Court, vide order dated 10.11.2025, Annexure P-4, after being in custody of 1 year, 1 month and 6 days. It is improbable that Gurjant Singh being father of the petitioner will implicate him in his disclosure statement, which is otherwise inadmissible in the eyes of law, and an axe has been recovered from the said co-accused himself. Charges have been framed on 31.01.2025, however out of 22 PWs, all 4 material witnesses stand examined. He is not involved in any other case.

3. Short reply and the custody certificate dated 19.01.2026, filed by the learned State counsel are taken on record. As per the same, the petitioner is behind bars for 1 year, 3 months and 16 days.

4. Learned State counsel assisted by learned counsel for the complainant opposes the bail on the ground that there are specific allegations against the petitioner of having caused injuries to the deceased and snatched the axe from co-accused, Gurjant Singh. However, he is unable to controvert the submissions with regard to stage of the case; the petitioner being not involved in any other case and the co-accused having been released on bail.

5. Heard.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, in particular that the petitioner is in custody for the last 1 year, 3 months and

CRM-M-65441-2025

16 days; not involved in any other case; co-accused is on bail; charges were framed on 31.01.2025, 4 material witnesses have been examined but there are still 13 more to go; the trial is likely to take a considerable time and further incarceration of the petitioner would be violative of his right enshrined under Article 21 of the Constitution of India, the present petition is allowed.

7. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail, subject to furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case and shall abide by the following conditions:-

- (i) The petitioner will not tamper with the evidence during the trial.
- (ii) The petitioner will not pressurize/ intimidate the prosecution witnesses.
- (iii) The petitioner will appear before the trial Court on each and every date fixed, unless is exempted by a specific order of Court.
- (iv) The petitioner shall not commit an offence similar to the offence of which, he is an accused, or for commission of which he is suspected of.
- (v) The petitioner shall not directly or indirectly coerce, induce, threaten or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/ her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence in any manner.
- (vi) The petitioner shall not in any manner misuse his liberty.
- (vii) The petitioner shall furnish his address and mobile number by way of an affidavit to the trial Court and not change the same till conclusion of trial and if for any reasons, he seeks to change either of the aforesaid, it shall be done only with prior information to the learned trial Court.
- (viii) The petitioner shall not leave the country without prior permission of the trial Court.

CRM-M-65441-2025

(ix) The trial Court/Duty Magistrate may impose any other condition, as deemed appropriate while releasing the petitioner.

8. It is made abundantly clear that in case there is any breach of the aforesaid conditions, the State shall be at liberty to seek cancellation of bail as granted to the petitioner by this order.

9. In view of the above, it is clarified that the observations made herein above are limited for the purpose of present proceedings and would not be construed as any opinion on the merits of the case and the trial would proceed independently of the aforesaid observations.

20.01.2026

parveen kumar

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No