

2026:PHHC:006527



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

116

CRWP-12497-2025

Decided on:19.01.2026

Rakesh Sachdeva and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajesh Bhardwaj

**Present: Mr. Vaneet Kumar Sharma, Advocate (through VC),
for the petitioners.**

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioners have filed the present petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of directions to respondent no.1 and 2 for protection of their lives and liberty who are apprehending danger at the hands of respondent nos.3 to 12 and also to take action on their representation dated 18.11.2025 (Annexure P-3).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner no.1 had earlier filed CRWP-5732-2025 praying for protection of his life and liberty, which was disposed of vide order dated 29.05.2025 with a direction to the official respondents therein to look into his representation dated 08.05.2025 and decide the same in accordance with the law, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of that order. It is submitted that on one hand the said order dated 29.05.2025 is not being complied with and on the other hand, the petitioners are continuously receiving threats at the hands of respondent nos.3 to 12 of extortion and of withdrawing their complaints and litigations filed by them against respondent nos.3 to 12. He submits that for the redressal of their

2026:PHHC:006527



grievances, the petitioners filed /representation dated 30.10.2025 (Annexure P-5) before respondent no.2 but no action is being taken upon it.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Ms. Ramta Chowdhary, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and prays for time to have instructions.

5. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, however, keeping in view the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case, I dispose of the present petition with a direction to respondent no.2- the Director General of Police, Punjab, to decide the representation (Annexure P-5) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their lives and liberty is perceived and also with a direction to intimate the petitioners in writing about the action taken on their representation.

6. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them.

January 19, 2026
vinod*

(Rajesh Bhardwaj)
Judge

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: NO/YES
Whether Reportable: NO/YES