

CRM-M-65822-2025 (O & M)

::1::

(138)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-65822-2025 (O & M)
Date of Decision: 11.03.2025

Manpreet Kaur and anr.

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI


Present: Mr. S.S. Gill, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Ms. Geeta Rani, AAG, Haryana.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J.

The prayer in the present petition under Section 483 BNSS is for the grant of regular bail in case bearing FIR No.374 dated 11.10.2025 under Sections 191(3), 190, 331(3), 305, 61(2) BNS, registered at Police Station Sadar Sirsa.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the FIR had been registered as an outcome of a matrimonial dispute. The occurrence purportedly took place in July 2025, though, the FIR was registered on 11.10.2025. As the petitioners are in custody since 05.11.2025, the challan



CRM-M-65822-2025 (O & M)

::2::

stands submitted but none of the 10 prosecution witnesses has been examined so far, the Trial in the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon and therefore, they are entitled to the concession of bail, moreso, when a co-accused, namely, Paramjeet Kaur has been granted the concession of bail by this Court vide order dated 26.02.2026 passed in CRM-M-67523-2025.

3. The learned counsel for the State, on the other hand, while referring to the status report dated 20.01.2026 contends that serious allegations have been levelled against the petitioners. Therefore, they are not entitled to the concession as prayed for. She, however, concedes that the petitioners are in custody since 05.11.2025, none of the 10 prosecution witnesses has been examined so far and that a co-accused has been granted the concession of bail.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

5. The veracity of the prosecution case against the petitioners and their co-accused shall be adjudicated upon during the course of the Trial. Admittedly, the petitioners are in custody since 05.11.2025 and none of the 10 prosecution witnesses has been examined so far. Therefore, the Trial in the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon. In this situation, the further incarceration of the petitioners are not required, moreso, when a co-accused/Paramjeet Kaur has been granted the similar concession.

6. Thus without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioners, namely, Manpreet Kaur and Harpreet Kaur are ordered to be released on bail subject to their furnishing bail bonds

2026:PHHC:037389



CRM-M-65822-2025 (O & M)

::3::

and surety bonds each to the satisfaction of learned CJM/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

7. The petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

March 11, 2026
sukhpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable :- Yes/No