



::1::

(108)
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-65067-2025 (O & M)
Date of Decision:06.04.2026

Rajesh
... Petitioner
Versus
State of Haryana
...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Manoj Tanwar, Advocate
for the petitioner.
Mr. Vinay Phogat, DAG, Haryana.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J.

The prayer in the present petition under Section 483 BNSS is for the grant of regular bail in case bearing FIR No.74 dated 06.05.2025 under Sections 103(1), 3(5) of BNS, 2023, registered at Police Station Nangal Chaudhary, District Mahendergarh.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. The identity of the deceased is unknown. The petitioner was apprehended on suspicion. He suffered a confessional statement that he along with one Raj Singh had committed the offence as the deceased was insisting on being provided food at the Dhaba being run by the petitioner. The only piece of evidence is the purported recovery of the blood-stained clothes of the petitioner containing the blood of the deceased. As the petitioner is in custody since 07.05.2025 and 08 prosecution witnesses are yet to be examined, the Trial of the present case is



::2::

not likely to be concluded anytime soon and therefore, he is entitled to the concession of bail.

3. The learned counsel for the State, on the other hand while referring to the reply dated 06.05.2025 contends that the petitioner along with one Raj Singh used a stone to inflict injury on the deceased. The clothes worn by the petitioner have found to be stained with the blood of the deceased and therefore, he is not entitled to the concession as prayed for. He, however, concedes that the identity of the deceased is unknown and other than the FSL Report regarding the blood group, there is no other evidence inculcating the petitioner, that the petitioner is in custody since 07.05.2025 and that 08 prosecution witnesses are yet to be examined.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

5. The veracity of the prosecution case against the petitioner and his co-accused shall be adjudicated upon during the course of the Trial. As the petitioner is in custody since 07.05.2025 and 08 prosecution witnesses are yet to be examined, the Trial of the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon. In this situation, the further incarceration of the petitioner is not required.

6. Thus, without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner, namely, Rajesh s/o Chhanga Ram is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned CJM/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

7. This petition stands disposed of.



::3::

8. The pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of accordingly.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

April 06, 2026
vishal

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No
Whether reportable :- Yes/No