



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

105

COCP-5691-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 01.04.2026

Harpreet Singh and others

...Petitioners

V/s

Alok Shekhar and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Parvesh K. Saini, Advocate and
Mr. Ashutosh Bhardwaj, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Ms. Shiny Chopra, AAG, Punjab.

VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J (ORAL)

The instant contempt petition alleges willful disobedience of order dated 13.05.2025 (Annexure P-1) passed in CWP-13500-2025.

2. On 11.03.2026, the following order was passed:-

"CWP-13500-2025 was disposed of by a coordinate Bench vide order dated 13.05.2025 with the following directions:-

"2. In view of the above and without commenting upon the merits of the case, this petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the representation dated 10.03.2025, taking note of the aforesaid judgment, within a period of 6 months, which this Court has no reason to believe the authorities would not address in a just, fair and reasonable manner. Upon doing so, after notice and hearing offered to them and if found entitled, grant the benefit forthwith. Needless to say, if the orders are adverse to their interest, the same shall contain reasons and the petitioners shall be free to seek legal redress thereupon."

Alleging willful disobedience of the abovesaid directions, the instant contempt petition was filed.

Compliance affidavit of Ms. Harkirat Kaur Channe, Director School Education (Elementary) Punjab, was filed. As per the same, in compliance of the aforesaid directions, a speaking order dated 17.11.2025 had been passed accepting the claim of the petitioners. However, the

grievance of the petitioners is that though their claim had been accepted, no benefits have been paid to them, as had been ordered by the Writ Court.

Faced with this, learned State counsel prays for a short adjournment to enable him to seek instructions, and to comply with the aforesaid directions.

Adjourned to 01.04.2026.

To be taken up in the urgents.”

3. Today, learned State counsel, on instructions from Ms. Harkirat Kaur, DPI, Elementary (School Education), Punjab, submits that pursuant to the speaking order dated 17.11.2025 having been passed in compliance of the directions of the writ Court, salaries of petitioners No.1 to 4 and 5 have been credited in their accounts whereas, the bill for salary of petitioner No.3 has been sent to the Treasury Office, which shall be cleared in the coming days.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that there were 300 petitioners in the writ petition and the benefits, even if the statement of learned State counsel is to be believed, have been released only qua the present petitioners and not qua others. He also submits that certain other contempt petitions filed by the other petitioners are pending and, therefore, the instant contempt petition be also kept pending.

5. This stand of learned counsel for the petitioners is devoid of merit and is accordingly rejected. Once the order stands complied with qua the present petitioners, there would be no justification in keeping the instant contempt petition pending.

6. That being so, no further orders are required to be passed by this Court. The contempt petition is accordingly disposed of in terms of the statement given by learned State counsel. Rule stands discharged.

Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

April 01, 2026

vcgarg

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No