



CRM-M-64825-2025

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

322

CRM-M-64825-2025
Decided on :27.01.2026

Vijay Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Parmender Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Amish Sharma, Asst. A.G., Haryana.

Ms. Bhavna Grewal, Advocate for respondent No.2.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.(Oral)

1. Instant petition has been filed under Section 528 of BNSS, seeking quashing of the below detailed First Information Report (FIR), and all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of the compromise dated 18.09.2025(P-2), effected between the parties.

DETAILS OF CRIMINAL CASE:-

FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station
167	13.07.2025	351(3), 115, 110 of BNS	Uklana, District Hisar

2. Vide order dated 17.11.2025, the affected parties were directed to appear before the learned Trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate, for getting their respective statements recorded with regard to the compromise.



CRM-M-64825-2025

2

3. Report has since been received from learned Additional Sessions Judge, Hisar, in pursuance to the directions of this Court wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report(s) compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the private respondents have also made statement to the effect that they would have no objection if the FIR qua the accused-petitioners is quashed.

4. The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, along with its report. The relevant part of the said report is reproduced herebelow:

“1. As per the statements of complainant party, they stated that they have voluntarily (out of their own free will) compromised the matter with the accused person namely Vijay Kumar, without any coercion, undue influence and pressure. They further stated that they have no objection, if the FIR is quashed against the accused person namely Vijay Kumar. In the similar manner, the accused person namely Vijay Kumar has made his statement to the effect that the matter has been compromised by the complainant with him voluntarily, without any coercion, undue influence and pressure. From the statements of complainant party and accused, it appears that the matter between them has been compromised voluntarily, without any pressure of any kind, from any corner and the compromise effected between them, is genuine.

Hence, it seems that the statements of parties are bona fide and are not the result of any threat, duress or coercion in any manner and the compromise effected between the parties is genuine, voluntary, out of their free will, without any coercion or undue influence and is valid.

2. In the abovesaid FIR, ASI Sanjeev Kumar, Police Station, Uklana, the Investigating Officer of this case, has appeared and suffered a statement before this Court on 1.12.2025. As per his statement, the present case bearing FIR No.167 dated 13.07.2025 was lodged against accused Vijay Kumar and Satya Narain is the complainant of this case. He also stated that accused Vijay Kumar is



CRM-M-64825-2025

3

not declared proclaimed offender in any case and except accused Vijay, no other accused is arrayed in the present case.”

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is the only named accused in the FIR in question, and that the private respondent is complainant/aggrieved party therein.

6. Learned State counsel does not dispute the aforesaid factual position and affirms the same during the course of arguments.

7. In view of the report of the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Hisar and the principles laid down by Hon’ble the Apex Court in ***Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303***, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052***, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

8. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below

9. Petition stands disposed of.

27.01.2026

Rashmi

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES/NO
Whether Reportable: YES/NO