



CRM-M-64433-2025 (O&M) 1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

107

CRM-M-64433-2025 (O&M))
Date of Decision:19.03.2026

Raj Kumar

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

2)

CRM-M-64736-2025 (O&M))

Sushma

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. Hitesh Chopra, Advocate, and
Mr. Amit Kumar, Advocate, for the petitioners.
Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

1. Both these petitions have been filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 for grant of concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in case FIR No.54 dated 13.04.2025, under Sections 103, 191(3) and 190 of BNS, registered at Police Station Division No.1, District Pathankot.

2. On 23.02.2026, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel contends that co-accused, Anuradha @ Anu has since been granted anticipatory bail by Hon’ble the Supreme Court, vide order dated 03.11.2025, Annexure P-6, who



CRM-M-64433-2025 (O&M) 2

had allegedly given kick and fist blows to the deceased, as are the allegations against the petitioners. They are not involved in any other case; ready and willing to join the investigation as and when required by the investigating agency and will cooperate.

Adjourned to 10.03.2026.

Meanwhile, the petitioners are directed to join the investigation on or before 26.02.2026. In the event of their arrest, they shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioners do not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.

Photocopy of this order be placed on the connected file(s)”

2. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the aforementioned order, the petitioners have not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. They further submit that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioners to appear, they shall make themselves available without demur.

3. Learned State counsel on instructions affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioners and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioners are not required for further custodial interrogation.

4. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioners are



CRM-M-64433-2025 (O&M) 3

allowed and the order dated 23.02.2026 granting interim bail to them, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. (now 482(2) of BNSS, 2023)

5. However, it is made clear that if the petitioners fail to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to them.

6. A copy of this order be placed on the other connected case file.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

March 19, 2026
dinesh

Whether speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No