

2026:PHHC:014628



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

205

CRM-M-64709-2025

Date of decision : 02.02.2026

JASPINDER SINGH

..... PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

..... RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA PARTAP SINGH

Present : Mr. Vaibhav Narang, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rohit Bansal, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

SURYA PARTAP SINGH. J.(Oral)

1. This is a petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita 2023 (hereinafter referred to as 'BNSS'), for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of FIR No.313 dated 08.10.2025 under Sections 115(2), 117(2), 118(2) and 3(5) of BNS, 2023, Police Station Gharinda, District Amritsar Rural.

2. It has been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that in compliance with order dated 17.11.2025, whereby the benefit of interim anticipatory bail was afforded to the petitioner, the petitioner has joined investigation, and therefore, the order dated 17.11.2025 be made absolute.

3. In response thereto, the learned State counsel has submitted that although the petitioner has jointed investigation, yet his custodial interrogation is required as the recovery of weapon of offence is yet to take place.

4. The record has been perused carefully.

5. A perusal of the record shows that there are several factors which are required to be taken into consideration at this stage. Those factors are:-

- i. that the offences are triable by the Court of Judicial Magistrate;
- ii. that the petitioner has already joined the investigation;
- iii. that trial of the case is not likely to be concluded in near future;
- iv. that the recovery of weapon of offence can be facilitated by issuing direction to the petitioner to join the investigation, as and when called by the Investigating Officer;
- v. that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not likely to produce a fruitful result;
- vi. that there is nothing on record to show that if the order dated 17.11.2025 is made absolute, the petitioner is likely to tamper with the evidence or influence the witnesses; and
- vii. that there is nothing on record to show that if order dated 17.11.2025 is made absolute, the petitioner will not participate/cooperate in the investigation/trial.

6. Taking into consideration the cumulative effect of all the abovementioned factors, the order dated 17.11.2025, granting interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner, is hereby made absolute. The present petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(SURYA PARTAP SINGH)
JUDGE

02.02.2026

vipin

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether Reportable	:	No