



CRM-M-65128-2025

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

103

CRM-M-65128-2025

Date of Decision: 06.03.2026

SARABJEET SINGH**... PETITIONER****VERSUS****STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR****... RESPONDENTS****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL**

Present:- Mr. Ankur Bansal, Advocate for the petitioner.
(Through video conferencing)
Mr. Parneet Singh Pandher, Assistant A.G.Punjab

None for respondent No.2.

H.S. Grewal, J.(Oral)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 483 (3) of the BNSS, 2023 for cancellation of anticipatory bail granted to respondent No.2 vide order dated 18.08.2023 passed in CRM-M-24173-2023 titled as “Shepali @ Shefali @ Shivani Sharma Vs. State of Punjab in FIR No. 43 dated 13.03.2023 under Sections 406,420,120-B of IPC registered at Police Station Police Commissionerate Jalandhar, Division No. 7 District Jalandhar.

2. Despite service of notice, none has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No. 2.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that respondent No. 2 was granted the concession of anticipatory bail vide order dated 18.08.2023 passed by a Coordinate Bench of this Court, subject to certain conditions. It is submitted that one of the conditions imposed was that respondent No. 2 shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court. Learned counsel contends that respondent No. 2 has misused the liberty granted to her by this

**CRM-M-65128-2025**

Hon'ble Court as she has gone to Canada without seeking prior permission of the Court. Hence, he prays for cancellation of the bail granted to respondent No. 2.

4. I have heard the submissions made by learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

5. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court has examined the nature and purpose of the conditions imposed while granting bail in criminal matters. It is well settled that once bail is granted subject to certain conditions, the accused is under a legal obligation to strictly comply with those conditions. The condition restraining the accused from leaving the country without prior permission of the Court is not a mere formality; rather, it is intended to ensure the presence of the accused during the course of the trial and to safeguard the integrity of the judicial process. In the present case, respondent No. 2 was specifically directed not to leave India without obtaining prior permission of the Court. However, it has been brought to the notice of this Court that she has allegedly travelled abroad (Canada) in violation of the said condition. Such conduct reflects a clear misuse of the concession of anticipatory bail granted to her. Consequently, the present petition is allowed and the anticipatory bail granted to respondent No. 2 vide order dated 18.08.2023 is hereby cancelled and she is directed to surrender before the trial Court.

06.03.2026*renu***(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No