



CRM-M-64395-2025

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

124

CRM-M-64395-2025(O&M)
Decided on :22.04.2026

Hardeep Singh

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Monty Goyal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS, seeking regular bail in case FIR No. 14 dated 11.02.2025, under Sections 115(2), 118(1), 351(2) of BNS (Section 109 of BNS was added lateron), registered at Police Station Daba, Ludhiana.

2. FIR was registered at the instance of the complainant, Kiranjot Kaur, and the version of the FIR reads as under:

“I am a resident of the above-mentioned address and do private cook work. My marriage took place in December 2003 with Gurpreet Singh, son of Ranjeet Singh, resident of City Khanna, District Ludhiana. I have two children an elder daughter Harpreet Kaur age approx. 19 years, and a son Krishna Singh age approx. 16 years. I have been living separately from my husband Gurpreet Singh for approximately 12 years. My daughter Harpreet Kaur alias Sneha got



CRM-M-64395-2025

2

married approximately 2 years ago to Sukhdeep Singh, son of Hardeep Singh, resident of Street No. 01, Sarpanch Colony, Giaspura, Ludhiana. My daughter Harpreet Kaur has a baby boy Sahej whose age is approximately 2 months. My daughter Harpreet Kaur alias Sneha has been residing with her in-laws in Street No. 4/6, Mohalla New Azad Nagar, Police Station Daba, Ludhiana, for the past 1 month. My son-in-law Sukhdeep Singh frequently argues and fights with my daughter Harpreet Kaur alias Sneha. On 04.02.2025, around 8:30 PM, I went to my daughter Harpreet Kaur alias Sneha's in-laws' house along with my son 8 Krishna, our neighbor Joginder Singh, my sister-in-law Nanad) Balwinder Kaur, and her son Ashwani Kumar. We were all trying to explain to my son-in-law Sukhdeep Singh that he should stop fighting with his wife, and if there was any issue, he should let us know. At that time, my daughter Harpreet Kaur's brother-in-law Sandeep Singh, mother-in-law Kuldeep Kaur, and father-in-law Hardeep Singh were also present in the house. Suddenly, my daughter Harpreet Kaur's father-in-law Hardeep Singh started arguing loudly with us and said, "Take your daughter and go!" I told them that we would take our daughter and grandchild with us. My sister-in-law Balwinder Kaur and my son Krishna stood up and went outside the house. As I stood up and reached the street to sit on the motorcycle of my sister-in-law's son, Ashwani Kumar, my daughter's father-in-law Hardeep Singh came from behind and struck me 2-3 times on the back of my head 24 8 with an iron Kirpan. I fell down, and I was bleeding heavily. When Hardeep Singh was about to attack me again, our neighbor Joginder Singh, put his hands forward, and he also sustained a cut from the Kirpan on his hands. My daughter's father in law Hardeep Singh then took the Kirpan and fled the spot. My sister-in-



law, her son Ashwani Kumar, our neighbor Joginder Singh, and my daughter took me to Civil Hospital, Ludhiana, for treatment. The doctor treated me, and due to the severity of the injury, the doctor referred me to PGI Chandigarh. After receiving my treatment at PGI, I returned home yesterday, 10.02.2025. Because of our existing family relationship, we were initially trying to resolve the matter mutually. Since the matter could not be resolved, legal action should be taken against Hardeep Singh. Today, I have given my statement in the presence of my son Krishna Singh and Ashwani Kumar. I have heard, read it, and it is correct.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, as per the allegations, petitioner inflicted 2–3 kirpan blows on the head of the complainant-Kiranjot Kaur, and also caused injuries with a kirpan to neighbour Joginder Singh, who had attempted to intervene.

To further clarify the facts, learned counsel submits that there is no MLR on record with respect to the alleged injured Joginder Singh. However, regarding the complainant’s injuries, it is submitted that only one injury has been declared to be dangerous to life. It is further contended that the material witnesses, including the injured complainant, have already been examined, and petitioner is in custody since February 2025. Therefore, any further incarceration of the petitioner would not serve any useful purpose, particularly as the trial is likely to take considerable time to conclude.

In view of the above, it is prayed that the petitioner be granted the concession of regular bail.

**CRM-M-64395-2025****4**

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel submits that a serious role has been attributed to the petitioner, as he inflicted a kirpan blow upon a woman, resulting in an injury which was declared to be dangerous to life. It is contended that, had no timely medical aid been provided, complainant might have lost her life. Considering the nature of the injuries and the gravity of the allegations, learned State counsel prays for dismissal of the present petition.

6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the paper-book, as well as the documents appended thereto.

7. It is noticed that petitioner is not an habitual offender and the dispute appears to be inter se between the families, as the injured complainant is the mother of petitioner's daughter-in-law. Petitioner is in custody for the last one year, i.e., since 20.04.2025, and 11 prosecution witnesses are yet to be examined; however, the material witnesses, including the injured complainant, have already been examined. In these circumstances, any further incarceration of the petitioner would not serve any useful purpose. Accordingly, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, petitioner is held entitled to the concession of regular bail.

Consequently, present petition is allowed. Petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/ Chief Judicial Magistrate/Illaq Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case.

**CRM-M-64395-2025****5**

8. Any of the discussion done and recorded hereabove, shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the facts of the case. Therefore, trial Court is expected to decide the case by taking an independent view, on the basis of evidence available on record, as expeditiously as possible in accordance with law.

9. Petition stands disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

22.04.2026***Rashmi***

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*
Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*