



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

212

CRM-M-64047-2025 (O & M)

Date of decision: 22.01.2026

SUKHDEV SINGH @ BUNTY

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Kuldip Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 BNSS for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case General Diary No.29 dated 29.05.2025 registered under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 191(3), 190, 351(2) of BNS, 2023 [Section 118(2) & 117(2) added later on vide GD No.12 dated 25.07.2025], Police at Station Guruharsahai, District Ferozepur in cross case bearing FIR No.137 dated 29.05.2025, registered under Sections 115(2), 324(4), 191(3) and 190 BNS, at Police Station Guruharsahai, District Ferozepur.

2. On 13.11.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel submits that it is a case of version and cross version and a GD has been filed by the complainant on 29.05.2025. As per the allegations leveled against him, he was armed with a dang and a simple injury came to be inflicted by him while he also received an injury as per the MLR. Co-accused Krishna Rani, Diyal Kaur, Chiman Singh and Sajjan Singh @ Gajjan Singh, have been granted interim anticipatory bail vide orders dated 28.10.2025 and 04.11.2025, Annexures P4 and P5. He is not involved in any other case. He is ready and willing to join the investigation as and when required by the investigating agency and will cooperate.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Jasjit Singh, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation on or before 24.11.2025. In the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 482(2) BNSS.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioner does not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.

Adjourned to 22.01.2026.”

3. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the aforementioned order, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Baldev Singh affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 13.11.2025 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

22.01.2026

parveen kumar

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No