



**111 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-64472-2025

Date of Decision : 19.01.2026

BALWINDER SINGH

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present: Mr. Simranjit Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Anup Singh, Asstt. A.G., Punjab.

Ms. Priyanka Sharma, Advocate for Mr. Amit Gupta, Advocate for the complainant.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (Oral)

1. Through the instant petition filed under Section 482 Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, prayer is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR bearing No.169 dated 29.09.2025 registered under Sections 115(2), 118(1), 333, 351 (2), 3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNS') (Section 118(2) of BNS and 117(2) added later on) at Police Station Ghuman, Police District Batala, District Gurdaspur.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that earlier the petitioner was granted bail by the police as all the co-accused, named in the FIR, had joined the investigation and also got demarcated the place of

occurrence, hence, further custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for any purpose; petitioner has clean antecedents and he is not involved in any other case; petitioner has already joined the investigation and is willing to co-operate with the Investigating Agency as and when required. Hence, learned counsel prayed for relief of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

3. Learned State counsel appeared on advance notice and submitted that the petitioner has actively participated in the commission of offence. However, it is not disputed that the petitioner is not involved in any other case except the present one.

4. Learned counsel for the complainant contended that a compromise has been effected between the parties and a petition for quashing of the FIR on the basis of compromise has also been filed vide **CRM-M No.2762 of 2026**, which was also fixed for today and she has admitted the factum of compromise effected between the parties.

5. Heard.

6. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case and the contentions of learned counsel for the parties that petitioner has already joined investigation and is not required for any custodial purpose, a compromise has been arrived between parties as stated by learned counsel for the complainant and the petitioner has clean antecedents and is not involved in any other case. Petitioner is again directed to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so. In the event of his arrest, the petitioner shall be admitted to bail on already furnished bail/surety bonds

and shall also abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of the BNSS (*erstwhile Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.*).

7. Disposed of accordingly.

8. Nothing observed hereinabove shall be construed to be expression of an opinion by this Court on merits of the case.

19-01-2026
Sapna Goyal

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking : YES/NO
Whether reportable: YES/NO