



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

105

CRM-M-64318-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 18.03.2026

PARVEEN KUMAR @ PINKU

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Nafees A. Khan, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. BS Saroha, DAG, Haryana.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 BNSS, 2023, for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.416 dated 12.09.2025, under Section 21(B) of the NDPS Act, registered at Police Station City Sohana, District Gurugram.

2. On 17.11.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Petitioner, an accused in case FIR No.416 dated 12.09.2025 registered against him, for commission of offences punishable under Section 21(b) of Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 at Police Station City Sohana, District Gurugram, has prayed for grant of pre-arrest bail.

Learned counsel submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case as his name was nominated on the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused, who was allegedly caught red handed at the site keeping in his illegal possession 20 grams of Smack (‘Intermediate Quantity’). Learned counsel further submits that said disclosure statement, in the absence of recovery of any other incriminating material, is not admissible in evidence. Learned counsel further submits that petitioner is ready and willing to join the investigation as and when called for by the Investigating Officer.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Shweta Nahata, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and seeks time to file detailed status report.

Adjourned to 16.12.2025.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation as and when required to do so by the Investigating Agency. In the event of his arrest, the petitioner shall be released on ad-interim bail, subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. The petitioner shall also be abide by all the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.)”

3. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the afore-mentioned order, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Harpal affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 17.11.2025 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

18.03.2026

ashok

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No