



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

246

CRWP-12209-2025

Date of Decision:-06.03.2026

HEMANT @ BUNTY @ RAJ @ RAJESHPETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERSRESPONDENTS

CORAM:-HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Varinder Singh Rana, Advocate and
Mr. Jitesh Rana, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Vipul Sherwal, AAG Haryana.

(THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCING)

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J.(ORAL)

The prayer in the present petition is under Article 226 of Constitution of India for setting aside the impugned order dated 05.09.2025 (Annexure P-2) passed by the respondent No.1, whereby the prayer for grant of premature release has been deferred for one year despite fulfilling all the conditions of Premature Release Policy dated 11.08.2008 endorsed on 13.08.2008 (Annexure P-1).

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in view of the judgment in the case of ***“Pholu @ Polu Ram Vs. State of Haryana and Ors.”*** in ***CRWP-8232-2022*** decided on 05.02.2024 and ***“Raju @ Rajesh Vs. State of Haryana and Ors.”*** in ***CRWP-2160-2024*** decided on 11.03.2024 passed by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court, the impugned order whereby the case of premature release of the petitioner was deferred

The State counsel accepts the aforementioned legal position in view of the abovementioned judgments passed by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court.

In view of the above, the impugned order dated 05.09.2025 (Annexure P-2) stands quashed.

The State of Haryana is directed to re-consider the case of the petitioner for the grant of premature release and pass an order in accordance with law within a period of 6 weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order passed by this Court.

Disposed of.

06.03.2026

Kusum

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>