



CRM-M-64230-2025 (O&M) 1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

111

CRM-M-64230-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision:27.03.2026

Baljinder Singh Bhullar

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present: Ms. Sushma Chopra, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Jasjir Singh, DAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 for grant of concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.173 dated 27.11.2024, under Sections 329(3), 303(2), 351(3), 324(5), 191(3), 190 BNSS, 2023 and Section 25, 27 of Arms Act registered at Police Station Makhu, District Ferozepur.

2. On 14.11.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

“1. Present second petition has been filed under Section 482 BNSS, seeking anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 173 dated 27.11.2024, registered at Police Station Makhu, District Ferozepur (P-1), under Sections 329(3), 303(2), 351(3), 324(5), 191(3), 190 of BNS, 2023, and Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act.



CRM-M-64230-2025 (O&M) 2

2. First anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner was dismissed as withdrawn vide order dated 03.02.2025 passed in CRM-M-2834-2025 by the co-ordinate Bench of this Court (Sanjiv Berry, J.). Thereafter, petitioner has filed the instant second petition seeking anticipatory bail, submitting that after withdrawal of the first petition, co-accused Harpreet Singh @ Har, who is similarly situated, has been granted the concession of anticipatory bail. This, according to the petitioner, constitutes a fresh cause of action, enabling him to seek relief on the principle of parity.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, as per the allegations, a crop standing over an area of nine acres was allegedly taken away by the accused persons, including petitioner, after harvesting it overnight. It is further submitted that this activity was purportedly recorded on a mobile phone.

4. It is submitted that dispute, in fact, relates to possession of the land in question. A civil suit in this regard is already pending before the competent Civil Court. This aspect was also noticed by the co-ordinate Bench while granting interim anticipatory bail to co-accused Harpreet Singh @ Har vide order dated 23.10.2025 (P-3) in CRM-M-59803-2025.

5. Learned counsel argues that, if any such incident had occurred, the proper remedy for the complainant would have been to move an application under Order 39 Rule 2A before the concerned Civil Court, where temporary injunction proceedings are already pending.

6. Since the dispute cannot be resolved until the actual possessor of the land is determined by the Civil Court, no criminality is involved in the matter.



CRM-M-64230-2025 (O&M) 3

7. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner is ready and willing to cooperate with the investigation and join the same, provided he is granted protection from arrest.

8. Notice of motion.

On advance notice, Mr. Vinay Malhotra, DAG, Punjab puts in appearance on behalf of the respondent – State. He seeks some time to file reply.

9. Adjourned to 03.02.2026.

10. In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation as and when required to do so by the Investigating Agency. In the event of his arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail, subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. The petitioner shall also be abide by all the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.).

11. Besides, it is directed that petitioner would hand over his passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if she possesses. Otherwise, would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that he does not possess any passport.

It is also directed that before leaving country any time during trial, petitioner would seek prior permission of the Court.”

3. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the aforementioned order, the petitioner has joined investigation. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.



CRM-M-64230-2025 (O&M) 4

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.
5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 14.11.2025 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. (now 482(2) of BNSS, 2023)
6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

March 27, 2026
dinesh

Whether speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No