

2026:PHHC:036388



**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

129-2

CRM-M-63759-2025
Date of Decision: 09.03.2026

GAGANDEEP SINGH

... Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. GREWAL.

Present: Mr. Naveen Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed under Section 483 Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking regular bail for the petitioner in case FIR No.189 dated 10.04.2025 under Section 20 of the NDPS Act, 1985 (Section 29 of the Act added later on) registered at Police Station Sector 13/17, Panipat, District Panipat.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner alongwith his co-accused was apprehended by the police alongwith a Car No.HR-32A-4776 make Mahindra TUV-300 and 1.422 Kgs. of Charas was recovered from a bag which was kept on the rear seat of the said car. The petitioner alongwith his co-accused had parked the abovesaid car near Toll Plaza, G.T. Road, Panipat and were waiting for the customer to deliver him the said contraband.

3. Learned Counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner was not found in conscious possession of the contraband and as such, he has been wrongly implicated in the present case. He further submits that he is in custody for the last

10 months and 25 days and the trial will take long time to conclude. He thus prays that the petitioner be granted the concession of regular bail.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Ms. Ruchi Sekhri, Addl. A.G., Haryana accepts notice and vehemently opposes the petition for grant of bail on the ground that the allegations and role attributed to the petitioner in the commission of the alleged offence are very serious in nature. Hence, she prays for dismissal of the present petition. Custody certificate filed by the State Counsel is taken on record. As per the said certificate, the petitioner is in custody for the last 10 months and 25 days.

6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

7. Keeping in view the gravity of the offence, less custody period of the petitioner and huge quantity of the recovered contraband, this Court is of the opinion that the petitioner does not deserve the concession of regular bail at this stage.

8. Dismissed.

9. Nothing discussed hereinabove shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

10. However, the petitioner shall be at liberty to file a fresh petition for bail in case the trial does not make substantial progress.

MARCH 09, 2026.
Rajender

(H.S. GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No