



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

207

CRM-M-65279-2025 (O&M)

SUDHIR KUMAR

...PETITIONER

V/s

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

Date of decision: 29.01.2026

Date of uploading: 29.01.2026

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Deepanshu Mehta, Advocate for the petitioner
(Through VC).

Ms. Priyanka Sadar, Senior DAG, Haryana.

Ms. Dheerja, Advocate for respondent No.2.

SUMEET GOEL, J.

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.263 dated 19.09.2025 registered for offences punishable under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B of IPC at Police Station Julana, District Jind.

2. On 19.11.2025, the following order was passed:-

*“Inter alia contends that the role of the petitioner Sudhir Kumar is different from co-accused Mahender Singh whose anticipatory bail plea has been declined vide order dated 03.11.2025 passed in CRM-56368- 2025 (Annexure P-5), as the petitioner (herein) is ascribed the role of only being a witness of the agreement to sell, the petitioner is not a beneficiary and no effective recovery is to be made from the petitioner & petitioner is ready to join the investigation and cooperate with the investigating agency.
Notice of motion for 18.12.2025.*



At the asking of the Court, Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Additional Advocate General, Haryana, has caused appearance on behalf of the official respondent-State of Haryana.

The petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer on 27.11.2025 at 11:00 A.M. in concerned Police Station and join investigation. In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal/surety bond(s) to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. As and when further called by Investigating Officer, the petitioner shall join the investigation. He shall abide by the condition(s) enumerated under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the co-accused, namely Mahender Singh, had approached the Hon'ble Supreme Court by way of SLP (Crl.) No. 18395 of 2025, and he has been granted the concession of anticipatory bail by the Hon'ble Supreme Court on 15.01.2026.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions, has stated that pursuant to the order dated 19.11.2025, the petitioner has joined investigation and is no longer required for custodial interrogation.

5. Learned counsel for the complainant has vehemently opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner by arguing that the allegations raised against the petitioner are direct/serious in nature and hence he ought not to be extended the concession of anticipatory bail. He has further iterated that in case the petitioner is extended the concession of anticipatory bail, there is all the likelihood that he may interfere with the investigation as also intimidate the investigation/witnesses.

6. Keeping in view the factual milieu of the case in hand; especially the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated therein and is no longer required by the State for further custodial interrogation, this Court is inclined to confirm the order dated

19.11.2025, granting anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS.

- 7. Ordered accordingly.
- 8. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.
- 9. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 or upon showing any other sufficient cause.
- 10. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.
- 11. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

29.01.2026
jatin

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No