



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

150

CRM-M-65804-2025
Decided on :22.01.2026

Khushbu Kumari alias Khushboo Kumari

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: None for the petitioner

Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG Haryana

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (ORAL)

1. Instant petition has been filed under Section 528 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 482 Cr.P.C.), seeking quashing of FIR No. 142 dated 03.05.2024 registered under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC at Police Station Sector-31, Faridabad, Haryana (Annexure P-1) and all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of the compromise dated 26.10.2024(Annexure P-2), effected between the parties.

2. On 26.11.2026, the following order was recorded:

“(i) There are total 03 accused in the present case, however, present compromise quashing petition has been filed only on behalf one accused, i.e., Khushbu Kumari alias Khushboo Kumari, and remaining two of the accused, namely; Srinivasan and Guru Prakash, are not in the present petition.

(ii) Since partial compromise quashing petition is not maintainable, in view of the judgment of this Court in Rakesh Das v. State of Haryana , 2024(4) Law Herald 3141, Law Finder Doc Id #2663704, counsel for the petitioner prays for some time to read the said judgment and then to assist the Court.

(iii) List on 22.01.2026.”



CRM-M-65804-2025

-2-

3. Since the petition is filed on the basis of partial compromise, i.e. only qua one of the accused.

4. The present petition is not maintainable, therefore, the same is dismissed.

5. However, liberty is granted to the effect that as and when fresh cause of action accrues to the petitioner, fresh quashing petition on the basis of compromise as per law would be maintainable.

22.01.2026
reena

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES/~~NO~~
Whether Reportable: ~~YES~~/NO