



CRM-M-65178-2025 (O&M) 1

217 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-65178-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 27.01.2026

Kuldeep

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. PKS Phoolka, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Gautam Kaile, DAG Haryana

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 483 BNSS is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.410 dated 04.10.2024, registered under Section 127(6) of BNS, 2023 (Sections 103(1), 3(5), 238(b) of BNS added later on) at Police Station Meham, District Rohtak.

2. Learned counsel contends that the petitioner has been in custody for 1 year, 3 months and 06 days. His name surfaced based on the disclosure statements of co-accused Ravi and Sahil, with the latter, the motive, if any was, wife (since deceased) of the complainant, was alleged to have been with him in a friendship. Charges have been framed on 15.10.2025 and out of total 12 prosecution witnesses, none has been examined. He is not involved in any other case.

3. Reply by way of an affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Rohtak and the custody certificate dated 24.01.2026, filed by the learned State counsel are taken on record. As per the custody certificate, the petitioner is behind bars for 1 year, 3 months and 06 days.

4. Learned State counsel opposes the bail on the ground that the specific allegations levelled against the petitioner by his co-accused and a nose-pin of the deceased has been recovered from him. However, he is unable to controvert the



submissions with regard to stage of the case and the petitioner being not involved in any other case.

5. Heard.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, in particular that the petitioner is in custody for 1 year, 3 months and 06 days; not involved in any other case; charges have been framed on 15.10.2025, out of total 12 prosecution witnesses, none has been examined, the trial is likely to take a considerable time, further incarceration of the petitioner would be violative of his right enshrined under Article 21 of the Constitution of India, the present petition is allowed.

7. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail, subject to furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case and shall abide by the following conditions:-

- (i) The petitioner will not tamper with the evidence during the trial.
- (ii) The petitioner will not pressurize/ intimidate the prosecution witnesses.
- (iii) The petitioner will appear before the trial Court on each and every date fixed, unless is exempted by a specific order of Court.
- (iv) The petitioner shall not commit an offence similar to the offence of which, he is an accused, or for commission of which he is suspected of.
- (v) The petitioner shall not directly or indirectly coerce, induce, threaten or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/ her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence in any manner.
- (vi) The petitioner shall not in any manner misuse his liberty.
- (vii) The petitioner shall furnish his address and mobile number by way of an affidavit to the trial Court and not change the same till conclusion of trial and if for any reasons, he seeks to change either of the aforesaid, it shall be done only with prior information to the learned

trial Court.

- (viii) The petitioner shall not leave the country without prior permission of the trial Court.
- (ix) The trial Court/Duty Magistrate may impose any other condition, as deemed appropriate while releasing the petitioner.

8. It is made abundantly clear that in case there is any breach of the aforesaid conditions, the State shall be at liberty to seek cancellation of bail as granted to the petitioner by this order.

9. In view of the above, it is clarified that the observations made herein above are limited for the purpose of present proceedings and would not be construed as any opinion on the merits of the case and the trial would proceed independently of the aforesaid observations.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

27.01.2026

dinesh	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
	Whether reportable	:	Yes / No