



269

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

ARB-678-2025

Date of decision: 19.02.2026

M/S GARG AND COMPANY

...Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present:- Mr. Ranjit Singh Kalra, Advocate and
Ms. Mona Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Chirag Wadhwa, DAG, Haryana.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

1. Both the learned counsels for the parties have submitted that the issue regarding the competence as to which Court would extend the mandate of the Arbitrator under Section 29A of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 has since been decided by Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Jagdeep Chowgule versus Sheela Chowgule and others, 2026 SCC OnLine SC 124**. It has been held that the competent Court for the purpose of Section 29A would be the Court as defined under Section 2(1)(e) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996.

2. In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for withdrawal of the present petition.

3. Dismissed as withdrawn.

4. The parties are at liberty to file an appropriate application under Section 29A of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, before the competent Court as defined under Section 2(1)(e) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, in accordance with law.

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

19.02.2026
Chetan Thakur

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No