



**132 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-63570-2025
Date of Decision: 19.02.2026**

Piyush

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Manoj Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Tanuj Sharma, A.A.G., Haryana.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

1. Petitioner has approached this Court by way of present second petition praying for granting regular bail in case FIR No.273 dated 27.06.2022 under Section 147, 148, 149, 323, 506 of IPC (Sections 302, 120-B & 201 of IPC added lateron), registered at Police Station SGM Nagar, District Faridabad.

2. Succinctly, facts of the case are that FIR in the present case was registered on the statement of complainant, namely, Vikas. It was alleged therein that on 26.06.2022 at about 7:00 PM, he along with Deepak and Vinod was standing near liquor shop and in the meantime, Amar Chand, Mangal, Golu, Chintu, Ghetta and 10-12 other persons reached there carrying iron rods, swords and dandas in their hands and caused grievous injuries to Deepak with the weapons carried by them. It was further alleged that after considering Deepak to be dead, all the assailants fled away from the spot giving threat that in case Deepak survived, they would kill him in future. The whole occurrence



was captured in CCTV cameras installed there. Thus, request was made to take legal action against the culprits. On registration of the FIR, the investigation commenced. During investigation, complicity of the petitioner surfaced on the disclosure statement of co-accused, namely, Shyam Babu @ Mangal and thereafter, he surrendered on 13.05.2024. However, due to non-appearance of the petitioner before the trial Court, he was declared a proclaimed offender on 17.10.2023 passed by Ld. JMIC, Faridabad. Aggrieved by the same, petitioner approached this Court by way of filing of CRM-M-64165-2023 and the same was disposed of on 20.12.2023 by setting aside the order dated 17.10.2023. The petitioner approached the Court of learned Additional Sessions Judge, Faridabad praying for grant of regular bail. However, after hearing both the sides, the learned Court finding no merit in the same, dismissed the bail application filed by the petitioner vide order dated 22.10.2024. Aggrieved by the same, petitioner earlier approached this Court by way of filing of CRM-M-60193-2024 which was dismissed by this Court vide order dated 13.05.2025. Hence, the petitioner is before this Court praying for grant of bail by way of filing the present second petition.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is falsely implicated in the present case. He submits that though the petitioner was declared a proclaimed offender on 17.10.2023, however, the same has been set aside by this Court vide order dated 20.12.2023 passed in CRM-M-64165-2023 and thereafter, he surrendered on 13.05.2024 and since then he is behind bars. He has submitted that role of the petitioner is at par with the co-accused, namely, Amar Chand, who has been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 03.11.2025 passed in **CRM-M-51328-2024**. He submits that the petitioner is not even named in the FIR, however, he has been implicated in the present case only on the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused, namely,



Shyam babu @ Mangal, which is not even an admissible evidence. He submits that the petitioner has no criminal antecedents and thus, in the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner deserves to be granted bail.

4. Per contra, learned State counsel has opposed the submissions made by counsel for the petitioner. He submits that in all there are 44 prosecution witnesses, out of which only 04 witnesses have been examined till date. He submits that complicity of the petitioner has been surfaced in the present case on the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused. However, he endorsed the fact that role of the petitioner is at par with co-accused, namely, Amar Chand, who has already been granted bail by this Court. He affirms the fact that the petitioner was declared a proclaimed offender vide order dated 17.10.2023 and the same order was set aside by this Court vide order dated 20.12.2023 and he surrendered on 13.05.2024. He has produced the custody certificate of the petitioner today in the Court and the same is taken on record.

5. After hearing counsel for the parties and perusing the record, it is deciphered that the petitioner has been implicated in the present case on the basis of disclosure statement of the co-accused. As submitted before this Court, out of total 44 prosecution witnesses, only 04 have been examined so far. As per the custody certificate, the petitioner has completed the incarceration of 01 year, 09 months and 06 days as on 18.02.2026. It further reflects that the petitioner has no criminal antecedents. Admittedly, co-accused of the petitioner, namely, Amar Chand, has already been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 03.11.2025 passed in **CRM-M-51328-2024**.

6. The veracity of the allegations would be assessed only after the conclusion of the trial and on the appreciation of evidence to be led by both the parties before the trial Court.



7. This Court would refrain itself from commenting anything on the merits of the case. The trial of the case will take sufficient long time. Thus, keeping in view the arguments raised by both the sides, this Court is of the opinion that learned counsel for the petitioner succeeds in making out a case for grant of regular bail to the petitioner on the basis of parity.

8. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate. Nothing said herein shall be treated as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

19.02.2026
ps-I

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
<i>Whether reportable</i>	: <i>Yes/No</i>