



CRM-M-63540-2025

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

261

**CRM-M-63540-2025
Date of decision: 27.02.2026**

**BALJOBANPREET SINGH @ JOBANPREET SINGH
.... PETITIONER(S)**

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER ...RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Rajan Singh Dadwal, Advocate for the petitioner(s).
 Mr. Athar Ahmed, DAG, Punjab.
 Mr. Umesh Kumar Kanwar, Advocate for respondent No.2.

(THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCING)

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (Oral)

The prayer in this petition is for quashing of an FIR No.15 dated 11.03.2025 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 118(1), 285 of BNS (later on added Section 238 BNS) at Police Station Hathur, District Ludhiana Rural along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of a compromise arrived at between the petitioner and respondent No.2.

Vide order dated 12.11.2025 this Court had directed the parties to appear before Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded with regard to the compromise dated 31.10.2025 (Annexure P-2).

The Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court was to submit a report in this regard giving certain details as enumerated in the said order.

Pursuant to the order dated 12.11.2025 passed by this Court, the parties have appeared before the learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate,

**CRM-M-63540-2025**

2

Jagraon and as per the report dated 06.12.2025 submitted to this Court, both the parties have got recorded their respective statements in Court.

A perusal of the aforesaid report would show that the parties have effected a genuine compromise without there being any pressure, coercion or undue influence. In view of the compromise there is a remote possibility of the complaint coming forward to support the prosecution case. The powers under Section 482 Cr.PC can be exercised in such like situation in order to prevent unnecessary vagaries of criminal trial to be faced by the parties, when there are remote chances of conviction of the accused. The compromise in question is found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in ***“Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543”***.

In view of the aforesaid report of the learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Jagraon accompanied by statements of both the parties, the FIR No.15 dated 11.03.2025 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 118(1), 285 of BNS (later on added Section 238 BNS) at Police Station Hathur, District Ludhiana Rural along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

Petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

27.02.2026*Kusum*

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>