



CRM-M-63523-2025

::1::

**238 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-63523-2025

Date of decision :06.03.2026

Gurcharan Singh and ors.

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and anr.

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr.H.S. Batth, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. M.S. Toor, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Divyansh Vats, Advocate,
for the respondent No.2.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J.(ORAL)

The present petition has been filed for quashing the impugned judgment dated 11.02.2020 passed by the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Moga in complaint No.98 of 2010 dated 10.12.2010 bearing No.COMI/277/213 under Sections 465, 467, 468, 469, 471 and 34 IPC titled as 'Mohinder Singh vs. Kamaljit Singh and others, summoning orders dated 11.06.2014 (Annexure P-3) and 21.11.2018 (Annexure P-4) and all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-6), entered into between the parties.

Vide order dated 12.11.2025 this Court had directed the parties to appear before Sessions Court, Moga for getting their statements recorded in terms of certain parameters given in the aforesaid order dated 12.11.2025 with regard to the compromise (Annexure P-6).



CRM-M-63523-2025

::2::

The Sessions Court, Moga was to submit a report in this regard giving certain details as enumerated in the said order.

Pursuant to the order dated 12.11.2025 passed by this Court, the parties have appeared before the Additional District & Sessions Court, Moga and as per the report dated 23.12.2025 submitted to this Court, both the parties have got recorded their respective statements in Court.

A perusal of the aforesaid report would show that the parties have effected a genuine compromise without there being any pressure, coercion or undue influence.

The Hon'ble Supreme Court in "***Ramgopal and another versus State of Madhya Pradesh, 2021(4) RCR (Criminal) 322***", has held that in non-compoundable cases of pre-dominantly private nature, even if compromise is reached after conviction, the proceedings can be quashed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. Further, the compromise in the present case is found to be fully in consonance of judgments with the direction issued by the Court in ***Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (CrL) 543***".

In view of the aforesaid report of the Additional District & Sessions Judge, Moga, accompanied by statements of both the parties, the impugned judgment dated 11.02.2020 passed by the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Moga in complaint No.98 of 2010 dated 10.12.2010 bearing No.COMI/277/213 under Sections 465, 467, 468, 469, 471 and 34 IPC titled as 'Mohinder Singh vs. Kamaljit Singh and others, summoning orders dated 11.06.2014 (Annexure P-3) and 21.11.2018 (Annexure P-4) and all other



CRM-M-63523-2025

::3::

consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

Petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

06.03.2026
sukhpreet

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>