



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-PIL-286-2024 (O&M)  
Date of decision : 20.05.2026

Kanwar Pahul Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY**

Present: Mr. Kanwar Pahul Singh, Petitioner-in-person.

Mr. Ajitpal Singh Mander, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

\*\*\*\*

**SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE** ( Oral )

1. The petitioner has preferred this petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying for the following relief:

(i) Writ in the nature of mandamus or orders or directions directing respondent Nos. 1 to 4 to provide adequate/appropriate place or accommodation and infrastructure for running District Consumer Commissions in three Districts Commissions of State of Punjab such as Malerkotla, Fazilka and Pathankot and further to provide adequate place, infrastructure, staff, clerks etc. for running the aid Mediation Cells established throughout State of Punjab in Consumer Commissions in view of the mandate given by Hon'ble

Supreme Court of India in a case titled as **Common Cause versus Union of India**, 1993 AIR SC 1403.

(ii) Writ in the nature of mandamus or orders or directions directing respondent Nos. 5 to 25 to ensure speedy justice to Consumers thereby following mandate of section 38 (7) of the Act, 2019 and Rule 11 of Consumer Protection (Consumer Commission Procedure), Regulations 2020, in its letter and spirit and ensure meticulous efforts to reduce huge pendency of cases before them and disallow unnecessary adjournments on casue/formal requests so made by the parties concerned.

(iii) Writ in the nature of mandamus or orders or directions directing respondent Nos. 5 to 25 to follow and adopt proper procedure as provided under BNSS, 2023 read with Sections 71 and 72 of the Act, 2019 in Execution applications, for executing its orders and ensure timely execution of its orders in compliance of celebrated judgment of Hon'ble Suprem Court in a case titled as **Rahul S. Shah vs. Jitendar Kumar Gandhi and others**, 2021 (6) SCC 418 and **Bhoj Raj Garg vs. Goyal Education and Welfare Society and others**, SLP Civil No.19654-2022, decided on 18.11.2022.

(iv) Writ in the nature of mandamus or orders or directions directing respondent Nos 1 to 5 to consider/decide the grievances raised by petitioner vide his representations dated 20.02.2023 (Annexure P-10) dated 06.06.2024 (Annexure P-11), 30.10.2024 (Annexure P-13), in a time bound manner.

2. The draft rules prepared by the State Commission, Punjab, are lying with the State Government to be notified. The said draft rules are known as (i) The Punjab State and District Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission Group A Rules,

2026; (ii) The Punjab State and District Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission Group B Rules, 2026 and (iii) The Punjab State and District Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission Group C Rules, 2026. It is pertinent to point out that the aforesaid rules were approved by the Department of Personnel, Government of Punjab.

3. The aforesaid rules are lying to be notified since March, 2026 with the Government of Punjab, Department of Food Civil Supplies and Consumer Affairs.

4. In view of the above, the State of Punjab, Department of Food Civil Supplies and Consumer Affairs, is directed by way of a writ of mandamus to notify the aforesaid rules within a period of 15 days and report compliance.

5. Registry is directed to list this matter in IOIN category, in case the compliance report is not filed within the stipulated period or if, something is found amiss in the compliance report.

6. The present petition stands disposed of accordingly.

7. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

**(SHEEL NAGU)**  
**CHIEF JUSTICE**

**(SANJIV BERRY)**  
**JUDGE**

May 20, 2026  
Ajay Prasher

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No