



CRM-M-63334-2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

124

CRM-M-63334-2025
Decided on : 21.04.2026

Raveen Kumar @ Robin Kumar @ Gulli

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present : Mr. R.K. Dadwal, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Amish Sharma, AAG Haryana

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 439 Cr.P.C.), for grant of regular bail to the petitioner, during the pendency of trial, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

Name of Petitioner(s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Raveen Kumar @ Robin Kumar @ Gulli, aged 30 years	400	10.10.2019	395, 397 IPC read with Section 34 IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act	Ambala City	Ambala

2. Case of the prosecution as alleged in the FIR by complainant-Surinder Kumar is that on 22.09.2019, a man and a woman visited the house of the complainant for rented accommodation. The complainant gave first floor of his house on rent to them at the rate of Rs.6,500/- per month. On 23.09.2019, they

**CRM-M-63334-2025****2**

came to the house with a bed and at night, both man and woman stayed at the house of the complainant. On 24.09.2019, they went by saying that they will come back after having all of their article and further said that then they will give their ID to the complainant. On 09.10.2019, the said woman again visited with another woman and said that this woman is her maternal aunt (Masi). Both the said women stayed at first floor of the house of the complainant. In the morning, the lady said that they will perform worship in the morning and asked them to join. In the morning, the complainant went to his shop and then he received telephonic information from his home that the tenant of complainant had run away after looting all the gold and money of the complainant. On return to the complainant, his wife Anjali, mother Raj Rani and maid Reena informed the complainant that two women and four men who were already present on the first floor of the house showed pistol to the wife of the complainant and she got frightened. They all tied wife, mother and maid of the complainant and beat them. They had taken the key of almirah by showing the pistol and had taken away 150 grams gold, Rs.23,000/- and mobile of wife of the complainant make Samsung and other articles.

3. After registration of the aforementioned FIR, petitioner was arrested on 29.08.2023, however, after a period of 02 months, he was released on bail by the Court of learned Additional Sessions Judge, Ambala vide order dated 02.11.2023. Thereafter, petitioner was absent from the Court proceedings. Giving explanation counsel submits that on account of already registered another case against petitioner i.e. FIR No. 219 dated 30.09.2022 registered under Sections 307, 324, 148, 149, 506 of IPC at Police Station City, Hoshiarpur (as per status report FIR No. 219 dated 03.09.2022), and after being arrested he could not appear before the Court in the proceedings of the present case, therefore, submits that now



CRM-M-63334-2025

he being inside jail in the present case since 23.09.2025, already for a period of more than a period of 06 months, the petitioner prays for the grant of regular bail.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the prayer for bail, submitting that the petitioner is involved in number of other criminal cases, even he has been declared as proclaimed offender. However, he is not in position to dispute the facts that earlier also petitioner was granted bail after remaining inside jail only for a period of two months and also that till date charges have not been framed against the petitioner.

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the material available on record. In view of the fact that trial has not even commenced and after being re-arrested, he remained inside for more than a period of 06 months, this Court is of the view that the matter warrants consideration for grant of regular bail.

6. Considering the aspects and circumstances, prayer made in the present petition is allowed. Petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/ Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Illaqa Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case.

7. Any of the discussion done and recorded here above, shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the facts of the case. Therefore, trial Court is expected to decide the case by taking an independent view, on the basis of evidence available on record, as expeditiously as possible in accordance with law.

8. It is further made clear that if in future petitioner is found indulged in similar kind of activities, prosecution would be at liberty to seek cancellation of bail in the present case.



CRM-M-63334-2025

- 9. Petition stands disposed of.
- 10. Pending application(s), if any, shall stands disposed of accordingly.

April 21, 2026

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

reena

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No