

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRA-D-1508-2025

JUDGEMENT RESERVED ON	JUDGEMENT PRONOUNCED ON	OPERATIVE PART PRONOUNCED OR FULL	UPLOADED ON
29.01.2026	17.03.2026	FULL PRONOUNCED	17.03.2026

Baljinder Singh ...Appellant

Versus

State of Punjab ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUKHVINDER KAUR

Present: Mr. SPS Phoolka, Advocate for the appellant.

Ms. Pooja Nayar Sharma, D.A.G., Punjab.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Section
08	12.02.2025	Dharamgarh	192, 61(2) BNS and 10, 13 of Unlawful Activities (Prevention) Act

Bail Application number before the Sessions Court	3307/2025 CNR No. PBSG0100-4155-2025
Date of Decision	26.05.2025

1. Aggrieved by the dismissal of regular bail by the Additional Sessions Judge, Sangrur vide order dated 26.05.2025, the appellant had come up before this Court by filing the present appeal under Section 21(1) of the National Investigation Agency Act, 2008.
2. Per custody certificate dated 28.01.2026, the appellant has the following criminal history:

Sr. No.	FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
1.	05	16.02.2025	Sadar Budhlada	25, 54, 59 Arms Act

3. The appellant's counsel submits that the appellant would have no objection whatsoever to any stringent conditions that this Court may impose, including that if the appellant repeats the offense or commits any non-bailable offense which provides for a sentence of imprisonment for more than seven years, the State may file an application to revoke this bail before the concerned Court having jurisdiction over this FIR, which shall have the authority to cancel this bail, and to which the appellant shall have no objection. Counsel for the appellant further submits that he shall not use his right of speech expression beyond what is permitted under Article 19 of the Constitution of India.

4. The facts of the case are being taken from reply dated 16.02.2026 filed by the concerned Deputy Superintendent of Police, which reads as follows:

"2. The case/FIR No.8 dated 12.02.2025 u/s 192, 61(2) BNS and section 10 and 13 of the Unlawful Activities (Prevention) Act 1967 (Amendment 2012) P.S. Dharamgarh was registered against Gurpatwant Singh Pannu r/o Khankot Jandiala, District Amritsar (Rural), Punjab and unknown person(s) on the basis of secret information received by S.I. Gurpal Singh SHO, P.S. Dharamgarh that on 12.02.2025, he along-with police party in connection with patrolling and checking of suspected persons was present at Bus Stand Satauj, then a secret informer gave him information that, on the outer wall of Electricity Grid, Tolawal Road, village Satauj the slogans of Khalistan have been written "SIYASI MAUT BHAND MAAN AMERICA, CANADA AND UK VICH SIYASI SHARN KRO KHALI KEJRIWAL THOKTA" and a yellow colour flag has been fixed on the angle on the wall of the Grid on which "DEG TEG FATEH PANTH KI JEET" and a Khanda has been subscribed on the same and "KHALISTAN JINDABADSFJ" has also been written. On the social media, in this connection, a video has been uploaded & circulated by Gurpatwant Singh Pannu SFJ by which an atmosphere of fear has been created in the minds of the general public. In this way amongst the people of different religions, factions, by creating the atmosphere of bitterness and fighting with each other, the atmosphere of fear and worry is being created. Besides this by giving inflammatory statements and hatching a conspiracy against the country, they are trying to breach the peace in the Country and Punjab. Gurpatwant Singh Pannu has formed an organization in the name of SFJ (SIKHS FOR JUSTICE), which has been declared illegal by the Government of India (vide notification No.CG-DL-E

09072024-255286 dated 8.07.2024). Gurpatwant Singh Pannu is engaged in-movements, conspiracy and inflammatory statements against the country. The matter is very much sensitive and a law and order situation could arise. Keeping in view the situation, SI Gurpal Singh SHO, P.S. Dharamgarh along with his co-officials reached the spot and prepared the photography of the words (slogans) which were written on the wall with black paint and that of the flag. The flag was taken down and taken into police possession and the slogans were painted with black paint, to maintain law and order. Upon which SI Gurpal Singh got registered the present FIR against Gurpatwant Singh Pannu and some unknown persons.

3. That during the investigation, rough site plan of the place of occurrence was prepared. The investigation of the case was carried out by Sh. Prithvi Singh Chahal, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub-Division, Dirba. On 12.02.2025 SI Gurpal Singh took into possession the Kesri flag on which Khalistan Jindabad SFJ was written, after preparing the parcel, the same was sealed with his seal bearing impression PSC.

4. That on 16.02.2025 Sh. Prithvi Singh Chahal, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Dirba along-with the police party was present in village Satauj then on the information of special informer Jagraj Singh @ Soni son of Bhura Singh, who was riding the motor cycle and pillion rider Gurmit Singh @ Gitti son of Kulwant Singh residents of village Biroke Kalan, P.S. Sadar Budhlada, District Mansa were nominated as accused in the present case.

5. That on 17.02.2025 SI Gurpal Singh, SHO, P.S. Dharamgarh nabbed accused Gurmit Singh @ Gitti from his house along-with motor cycle No.PB.31C-0354 Marka CT 100 Hero Honda and presented before Sh. Prithvi Singh Chahal, PPS DSP Sub-Division, Dirba. Arrest was made and the motorcycle was taken into possession.

6. That on 18.02.2025 accused Gurmit Singh @ Gitti during interrogation disclosed that the clothes and chappels put on by him today, were also put on by him on the day of occurrence. The same clothes were taken into possession and Gurmit Singh @ Gitti was given a new set of clothes to wear. One coat of khaki colour, one surmai pant and woollen topi and the chappals put on by him at the

time of occurrence were taken into possession by preparing parcel duly sealed with seal PSC.

Accused Jagraj Singh @ Soni, who was lodged in District Jail Mansa in case FIR No.05 dated 16.02.2025 U/S 25 of Arms Act P.S. Budhlada, District Mansa was brought on a production warrant and with the permission of the trial Court, was arrested on 18.02.2025.

On 18.02.2025, during interrogation accused Jagraj Singh @ Soni made disclosure statement that he was lodged in the Central Jail, Bathinda in a rape case, where Amritpal Singh son of Paramjit Singh resident of Dulewal, District Bathinda and Baljit Singh @ Prabhu son of Raj Singh resident of village Chauke, District Bathinda were introduced to him. Having remained together in the jail during the conversation, Amritpal Singh told Jagraj Singh @ Soni that he is a person who supports Khalistan. He used to write pro-khalistan slogans in lieu of which he received money from abroad. A case regarding the same was registered against him. He and Baljit Singh@ Prabhu wanted to continue this movement. Thereafter Jagraj Singh @ Sony came out on bail from the jail, they had suggested him to write the pro-Khalistan slogans on Government buildings for an amount of Rs.20,000/-. After some days, Baljit Singh @ Prabhu was transferred to Faridkot jail. When Jagraj Singh @Sony was released from jail, he agreed to do that work. Amritpal Singh had taken his address and mobile number 79730-xxxx and said that his brother Baljinder Singh son of Paramjit Singh will meet him and he will tell the place and location, where the work is to be done. On 14.01.2025 Jagraj Singh @ Soni was released from jail. On the very next date Baljinder Singh from his mobile No.76588-xxxx called Jagraj Singh @ Soni on his mobile No.79730-xxxx. Then Baljinder Singh and Jagraj Singh talked to each other on the mobile phone and WhatsApp number after that. Baljinder Singh asked Jagraj Singh @ Soni to work as told by his brother Amritpal Singh and Baljit Singh @ Prabhu. On 11.02.2025 Baljinder Singh in the evening at about 8-00 PM had met Jagraj Singh @ Soni near the village Biroke Kalan on the way to Bodawal Kalan, who shown slogan "KHALISTAN JINDABAD SFJ, SIYASI MAUT BHAND MAAN AMERICA, CANADA AND UK VICH SIYASHI SARAN KHALISTAN DA SAMARTHAN KARO, KEJRIWAL THOKTA BEANTE DI AULAD BHAND MAAN." to Jagraj Singh @ Soni on whatsapp. Baljinder Singh asked Jagraj

Singh @ Soni to write this writing on the Government School of village Satauj and this is to be written not less than two Government places. Besides these, two canes of spray black coloured and one yellow colour flag was also given. Then Baljinder Singh through video conference, got arranged the talk with Baljit Singh @ Prabhu, who was in Faridkot Jail, who had told Baljinder Singh to give Rs.2000/- to Jagraj Singh Soni for purchase of clothes. The slogans sent by Baljinder Singh (petitioner) through WhatsApp and he had got those printed on a paper. Then Jagraj Singh @ Soni on his motor cycle bearing number PB 31C 0354 make CT-100, put the colour spray and the yellow flag in his pocket alongwith Gurmit Singh @ Giti, who was pillion riding both of them had muffled their faces with piece of cloth and proceeded from village Biroke Kalan through the different villages to reach village Satauj, where on the intervening night of 11 and 12 Feb. 2025, on Electricity Grid the flag was fixed and wrote the slogans of Khalistan Jindabad. Then both of them had written the slogans of Khalistan Jindabad with the spray on the outer wall of the shelter. While going Jagraj Singh @ Soni prepared the video and on the way, they also made video of sign board affixed on the way of Patwarkhana Satouj and village Satouj and sent the same to Baljinder Singh (petitioner) on Whats App number 76588-xxxx and then on the way, by putting the empty cane containing the colour in the envelope threw the same near the village Bir Khurd near the drain from the pavement of the drain, which had fallen down in the root of the Babool tree.

On 18.02.2025 on the basis of interrogation made by accused Jagraj Singh @ Soni, the persons namely Amritpal Singh, Baljinder Singh sons of Paramjit Singh residents of Dullewal and Baljit Singh @ Prabhu son of Raj Singh resident of village chauke were nominated as accused in the present case.

On 18.02.2025, on the basis of disclosure statement made by accused Jagraj Singh @ Soni, he got recovered two empty bottles containing spray marka AEROSOL LACQUER CUBE GLOSS BLACK used in the crime from near the drain in the area of Bir Khurd under a Babool tree. One Loi brown colour, Cotton Cap (topi) worn at the time of occurrence and one mobile phone marka I-phone 6S and one keypad mobile phone marka samsung white colour from

his house and same were taken into possession by preparing a parcel duly sealed with the seal bearing impression PSC.

7. That on 20.2.2025 accused Amritpal Singh, Baljit Singh and Baljinder Singh were brought on production warrant and with the permission of Court, they were arrested in this case.

8. That on 21.02.2025, Panchayat Member Gurbax Singh @ Bholu son of Jaggar Singh resident of Satouj presented the footage of CCTV along-with DVR of cameras installed near the Panchayat Ghar before the IO and same were taken into possession.

On 21.02.2025 accused Jagraj Singh @ Soni during the interrogation made disclosure statement that on the intervening night of 11/12.02.2025 at the time of committing the crime, he used two mobile phones, out of which through one phone, he talked with Gurpatwant Singh Pannu through signal App and the second phone through which he sent Rs.1980/- to him Attarvir Singh @ Attar brother of Baljit Singh @ Prabhu. Beside this, he was introduced with Pritpal Singh @ Vadda in the Central Jail, Bathinda, who had supplied the foreign number of Gurpatwant Singh @ Pannu, which has been written by him in the small dairy in the name of the Jathedar, which is lying in his house under the pillow and other documents have been kept in the almirah by putting in a packet and, he can get recovered the same on his demarcation. Pursuance of his disclosure statement, he got recovered one mobile phone marka OPPO silver colour, one mobile phone marka OPPO black coloured, one small dairy marka ANOOP Note Book No.554L and some more documents from his house and same were taken into police possession.

On 21.02.2025 on the basis of interrogation made by accused Amritpal Singh, Baljit Singh @ Prabhu and Baljinder Singh, the persons namely Pritpal Singh @ Badda son of Gurlal Singh resident of Dod, P.S. Bajakhana District Faridkot and Atarvir Singh @ Aatar son of Raj Singh resident of village Chauke, District Bathinda were named as accused in the present case.

9. That on 22.02.2025, accused Atarvir Singh @ Atar was arrested. On 22.02.2025 accused Pritpal Singh @ Badda was brought on production warrant and with the permission of court, he was arrested in this case and later on he was found innocent and got discharged from the Court.

10. That on 08.03.2025 witness Subham Garg son of Sh. Hem Raj resident of Ward No.05, Dirba, presented a pen-drive containing video file and e-mail print and other documents sent by accused Gurpatwant Singh Pannu on 12.02.2025 and 28.02.2025 through e-mail pannun/sficounsel@gmail.com before the IO and same was taken into possession.

11. That on 14.03.2025 Paramjit Singh son of Gurdial Singh father of accused Baljinder Singh resident of village Dullewal produced the mobile phone marka OPPO of accused Baljinder Singh on the back side of which IMEI-863975068789790 and IMEI-863975068789782 was written. In the flip cover one diary and one SIM Airtel was produced which was taken into police possession.

12. That on 25.03.2025 the case property i.e. mobile phone, Pen drive and C.C.T.V. Footage/DVR etc. were deposited in the office of Range Cyber Forensic Laboratory, Patiala Range Patiala for analysis.

13. That on the completion of investigation, challan was presented against six accused namely Gurmeet Singh @ Gitti, Jagraj Singh @ Soni, Amritpal Singh, Baljit Singh @ Prabhu, Baljinder Singh (present petitioner) and Atarvir Singh @ Atar in the Court on 05.05.2025. The Additional Chief Secretary, Govt. of Punjab, Home Affairs Department vide order No.E899182/2025/Home-HMG-40MISC./85/2025-Home-4-5H4/447 dated 12.11.2025 has accorded prosecution sanction to prosecute to above-said 6 accused. On the receipt of said prosecution sanction, a supplementary report under section 193(8) BNSS was presented in the Court on 19.11.2025. Now the case is fixed for 04.12.2025 for consideration on charge in the court of Ld. Additional Sessions Judge, Sangrur.

xxx xxx xxx

C. THE EVIDENCE CONNECTING THE PETITIONER WITH THE WALL WRITINGS.

Accused/petitioner had provided the material i.e. two bottles of Spray paint after purchasing from Jatinder Kumar son of Ram Sarup r/o New Chaudhary Auto parts near Dr. Suman Hospital, Budhlada to co-accused Jagraj Singh for writing the slogan, "SIYASI MAUT BHND MAAN AMERICA, CANADA AND UK VICH SIYASI SHRN KRO KHALI KEJRIWAL THOKTA" on the wall of Electricity Grid of village Satauj.

Jatinder Kumar in his statement u/s 180 BNSS recorded before the Investigating Officer admitting therein about selling two bottles of black color spray paint to Baljinder Singh. The said slogan was written by Jagraj Singh @ Soni and Gurmeet Singh @ Giti on the direction of Baljinder Singh.

Baljinder Singh purchased one Kesri color Flag printed with "Deg Teg Fateh, Panth ki jeet, Khalisthan Jindabad SFJ and Khanda" from Bhola Singh son of Gurnam Singh r/o Kulwana P.S. Sadar Budhlada and provided to Jagraj Singh @ Soni and Gurmeet Singh @ Giti and they both put this flag on the iron angle installed at the wall of Electricity Grid Satauj. Bhola Singh in his statement u/s 180 BNSS made before the I.O. admitted this very fact of purchasing kesri Color flag from him by Baljinder Singh.

D. THE INVESTIGATION AND EVIDENCE TO MAKE AN OFFENCE IN THE PENAL PROVISIONS OF UAPA, 1967

As per the detailed facts emerged during the investigation, the act and conduct on the part of present petitioner Baljinder Singh and his co-accused falls within the preview of offence in the penal Provision of UAPA 1967 because the SFJ has been declared unlawful association by The Govt. of India vide Notification No.No.CG-DL-E 09072024-255286 dated 8.07.2024 and all the accused persons have committed the present offence on the direction of Gurpatwant Singh Pannu, who is the chief of SFJ.

E. THE INVESTIGATION AND EVIDENCE TO MAKE AN OFFENCE IN THE PENAL PROVISIONS OF BNS, 2023.

As per the detailed facts emerged during the investigation, the act and conduct on the part of present petitioner Baljinder Singh and his co-accused falls within the preview of offence u/s 192 BNS because petitioner and his co-accused have committed the present offence in order to create the atmosphere of bitterness and fighting with each other and the atmosphere of fear and worry and they were trying to breach the peace in the Country and Punjab on the direction of Gurpatwant Singh Pannu, who is the chief of SFJ, which has been declared unlawful association by the Govt. of India vide Notification No. No.CG-DL-E 09072024-255286 dated 8.07.2024.

F. THE ROLE OF THE PETITIONER IN THE ABOVE CAPTIONED FIR.

Accused/petitioner had provided the material i.e., two bottles of Spray paint after purchasing from Jatinder Kumar son of Ram Sarup r/o New Chaudhary Auto parts near Dr. Suman Hospital, Budhlada to co-accused Jagraj Singh for writing the slogan, "SIYASI MAUT BHND MAAN AMRICA, CANADA AND UK VICH SIYASI SHRN KRO KHALI KEJRIWAL THOKTA" on the wall of Electricity Grid of village Satauj.

Jatinder Kumar in his statement u/s 180 BNSS recorded before the Investigating Officer admitting therein about selling two bottles of black color spray paint to Baljinder Singh. The said slogan was written by Jagraj Singh @ Soni and Gurmeet Singh @ Giti on the direction of Baljinder Singh.

Baljinder Singh purchased Kesri color Flag printed with "Deg Teg Fateh, Panth ki jeet, Khalisthan Jindabad SFJ and Khanda" from Bhola Singh son of Gurnam Singh r/o Kulwana P.S. Sadar Budhlada and provided to Jagraj Singh @ Soni and Gurmeet Singh @ Giti and they both hanged this flag on the iron angle installed at the wall of Electricity Grid Satauj. Bhola Singh in his statement u/s 180 BNSS made before the I.O. admitted this very fact of purchasing kesri Color flag from him by Baljinder Singh."

5. The appellant, through his counsel, undertakes not to indulge in any Anti-India activity and also that he would not cross the limits of his speech and expression beyond what is permitted under Article 19 of the Constitution of India and considering the entire facts and the pre-trial custody, which on the face of it, is excessive for the purpose of pre-trial custody and the undertaking given by the appellant through counsel, we are of the considered opinion that his further custody is not required.

6. Without commenting on the case's merits, in the facts and circumstances unique and peculiar to this case, and for the reasons mentioned above, the appellant makes a case for bail.

7. Perusal of the reply and the role of the petitioner which according to the reply is only providing two bottles of spray paint after purchasing from Jatinder Kumar to co-accused Jagraj Singh for writing the slogan on the wall of electricity grid of village Satauj and he has been in custody for the last 11 months and 01 day. As such, further custody is not justified given the role of the petitioner.

8. Given the above, provided the appellant is not required in any other case, the appellant shall be released on bail in the FIR captioned above, subject to furnishing bonds of Rs. 1 lac to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court and due to unavailability before any nearest Chief Judicial Magistrate or Duty Magistrate/ Ilaqa Magistrate.

9. In *Gulfisha Fatima v. State* (Govt. of NCT of Dtrial Court concernedn 05, 2026, the Hon'ble Supreme Court holds,

[434]. The appellants granted bail shall be released subject to the following conditions, which are imposed not as matters of form, but as substantive safeguards in the interest of national security, public order, and the integrity of the trial process.

i. Each of the appellants shall execute a personal bond in the sum of ₹2,00,000/- (Rupees Two Lakhs only) with two local sureties of the like sum to the satisfaction of the Trial Court.

ii. The appellants shall remain within the National Capital Territory of Delhi and shall not leave its territorial limits without prior permission of the Trial Court. Any request for travel shall disclose reasons and such prayer/request shall be considered by the Trial Court strictly on its merits

iii. The appellants shall surrender their passports, if any, before the Trial Court. Where no passport exists, an affidavit to that effect shall be filed. We direct the respondent to intimate all the immigration authorities in the country not to permit their exit from the country in any manner whatsoever, without express permission from the Trial Court.

iv. The appellants shall furnish their current residential addresses, contact numbers, and e-mail addresses to the Investigating Officer as well as to the Trial Court. The appellants shall not change their place of residence or contact particulars without giving at least seven days' prior written intimation to the Investigating Officer and the Trial Court.

v. Each of the appellants, namely Gulfisha Fatima, Meeran Haider, Shifa-ur-Rehman, Mohd. Saleem Khan, and Shadab Ahmed, shall personally appear twice a week, that is on Monday and Thursday between 10:00 a.m. and 12:00 noon, before the Station House Officer, Police Station Crime Branch, Delhi Police, Office of the Commissioner of Police, Police Headquarters, Jai Singh Marg, New Delhi – 110001 and mark their attendance. The Station House Officer shall maintain a separate register of attendance in respect of each of these appellants and shall furnish a monthly compliance report to the Trial Court, which shall be placed on the main record of the case.

vi. The abovenamed appellants shall not directly or indirectly contact, influence, intimidate or attempt to contact any witness or any person connected with the proceedings, nor shall they associate with or

participate in the activities of any group or organization linked to the subject matter of the present FIR/ final report.

vii. The appellants shall not make or publish or disseminate any information, statement, article or post whether in print, electronic or social media concerning the present case or its

participants till conclusion of the trial.

viii. The appellants shall not participate in any programme or address or attend any gathering, rally or meeting, whether physically or virtually till conclusion of the trial.

ix. The appellants shall not circulate any post either in electronic form or physical form or circulate any hand bills, posters, banners, etc in any form whatsoever.

x. The appellants shall fully cooperate with the trial and shall appear on every date of hearing unless exempted for reasons to be recorded by the Trial Court to its satisfaction and they shall not exhibit any conduct that has the effect of delaying the proceedings.

xi. The appellants shall maintain peace and good behaviour throughout and in the event of any offence committed during the pendency of the trial, the prosecution would be at liberty to seek for revocation of the bail granted by filing such application before the Trial Court and in the event of such application being filed the Trial Court shall consider it on its own merits.

[435]. In case of breach of any of the afore-stated conditions imposed or in the event of appellants having misused the liberty granted, it shall be open to the Trial Court to cancel the bail which would be necessarily after affording opportunity of hearing to the appellants.

10. The Appellant shall abide by all the above conditions as were ordered by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in Gulfisha Fatima *supra*, before the police station concerned in the present case.

11. In addition to the above conditions, the Appellant shall also abide by the following additional conditions, wherever these do not overlap with the conditions mentioned in Gulfisha Fatima *supra*. It is clarified that the conditions mentioned in Gulfisha Fatima *supra* are to be preferred over the following conditions imposed by this Court.

12. The appellant shall abide by all statutory bond conditions and appear before the concerned Court(s) on all dates. The appellant shall not tamper with the evidence, influence, browbeat, pressurize, induce, threaten, or promise, directly or indirectly, any witnesses, Police officials, or any other person acquainted with the facts and circumstances of the case, or dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Police or the Court.

13. The appellant shall not seek any unnecessary adjournment, and if he does so, the State shall have the right to apply for cancellation of bail.

14. The appellant shall mention his current address, phone number, e-mail, if any, and present address, native address, and in case of change, he shall inform the SHO of the police station concerned through a registered letter by mentioning the case number. Additionally, he shall also inform the concerned Court before whom the bonds were furnished.

15. Given the background of allegations against the appellant, it becomes paramount to protect the members of society as well as the integrity of the country and incapacitating the accused would be one of the primary options until the filing of the closure report, discharge, or acquittal. Consequently, it would be appropriate to restrict the possession of firearms. This restriction is imposed based on the preponderance of evidence of probability and not of evidence of certainty, i.e., beyond a reasonable doubt; and as such, it is not to be construed as an intermediate sanction. Given the nature of the allegations and the other circumstances peculiar to this case, the appellant shall surrender all weapons, firearms, and ammunition, if any, along with the arms license, to the concerned authority within fifteen days of release from prison and inform the Investigator of compliance. However, subject to the Indian Arms Act, 1959, the appellant shall be entitled to renew and take it back in case of acquittal in this case, provided that this is otherwise permissible under the concerned rules. Restricting firearms would instill confidence in society; it would also restrain the accused from influencing the witnesses and repeating the offense.

16. The conditions mentioned above imposed by this court are to endeavor to reform and ensure that the accused does not repeat the offense. In Mohammed Zubair v. State of NCT of Delhi, 2022:INSC:735 [Para 28], Writ Petition (Criminal) No 279 of 2022, Para 29, decided on July 20, 2022, A Three-Judge bench of Hon'ble Supreme Court holds that "The bail conditions imposed by the Court must not only have a nexus to the purpose that they seek to serve but must also be proportional to the purpose of imposing them. The courts, while imposing bail conditions must balance the liberty of the accused and the necessity of a fair trial. While doing so, conditions that would result in the deprivation of rights and liberties must be eschewed."

17. In Md. Tajiur Rahaman v. The State of West Bengal, decided on 08-Nov-2024, SLP (Crl) 12225-2024, Hon'ble Supreme Court holds in Para 7, "It goes without saying that if the petitioner is found involved in such like offence in future, the concession of bail granted to him today will liable to be withdrawn and the petitioner is bound to face the necessary consequences."

CRA-D-1508-2025

18. This bail is conditional, with the foundational condition being that if the appellant repeats the offense or commits any non-bailable offense which provides for a sentence of imprisonment for more than three years, the State shall file an application to revoke this bail before the trial Court having jurisdiction over this FIR, which shall have the authority to cancel this bail, and as per their discretion, they may cancel this bail.
19. Any observation made hereinabove is tentative and is not an expression of opinion on the case's merits, and it shall have no bearing on the trial or on the case of the co-accused, and the trial Court shall not advert to these comments.
20. It is clarified that this bail order shall not be considered as a blanket bail order in any other matter and is only limited to granting bail in the FIR mentioned above.
21. In Amit Rana v. State of Haryana, CRM-18469-2025 [in CRA-D-123-2020, decided on 05.08.2025], a Division Bench of Punjab and Haryana High Court in paragraph 13, holds that “To ensure that every person in judicial custody who has been granted bail or whose sentence has been suspended gets back their liberty without any delay, it is appropriate that whenever the bail order or the orders of suspension of sentence are not immediately sent by the Registry, computer systems, or Public Prosecutor, then in such a situation, to facilitate the immediate restoration of the liberty granted by any Court, the downloaded copies of all such orders, subject to verification, must be accepted by the Court before whom the bail bonds are furnished.”
22. Appeal allowed in terms mentioned above. All pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

(SUKHVINDER KAUR)
JUDGE

17.03.2026
Jyoti-II

Whether speaking/reasoned	YES
Whether reportable	NO