



CRM-M-63213-2025 (O&M) 1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

208

CRM-M-63213-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision:13.01.2026

Vikash @ Vikas @ Vikas Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. Sukhbir Maandi, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Gautam Kaile, DAG Haryana

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 for grant of concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.450 dated 26.07.2025, under Sections 115, 126, 190, 191(3) of BNS, 2023 (Section 110 of BNS added later on), registered at Police Station Shahabad, District Kurukshetra.

2. On 11.11.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel submits that there is a delay of one day in lodging the FIR. There is no allegation against the petitioner having inflicted any injury but for having caught hold. The complainant has compromised the matter with co-accused Bhanu and Vijay @ Yogi who were also attributed the same role as that to the petitioner. He is not involved in any other case. He is



CRM-M-63213-2025 (O&M) 2

ready and willing to join the investigation as and when required by the investigating agency and will cooperate.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. BS Saroha, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation on or before 21.11.2025. In the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 482(2) BNSS.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioner does not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.

Adjourned to 13.01.2026.”

3. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the aforementioned order, the petitioner has joined investigation. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 11.11.2025 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made



CRM-M-63213-2025 (O&M) 3

absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. (now 482(2) of BNSS, 2023)

6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

January 13, 2026
dinesh

Whether speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No