



CWP-33767-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

113

CWP-33767-2025

Date of Decision: 10.03.2026

Baljit Singh**...Petitioner****Versus****State of Punjab and others****...Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL**

Present: - Ms. Nikita Garg, Advocate
for Mr. Mandeep Kumar Dhot, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr. Aman Dhir, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. Affidavit dated 09.03.2026 of Er. Ajay Garg, Executive Engineer, Provincial Division PWD (B&R), Sangrur filed on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 5 is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at an appropriate place.

2. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to the respondents to install underground pipelines for the proper flow and drainage of rain water on the road from village Ganaur Khurd to village Hathan.

3. The petitioner is permanent resident of village Ganaur Khurd, Tehsil Dhuri, District Sangrur. He is having residential premises as well as agricultural field abutting the road from village Ganaur Khurd to village Hathan. The said road was initially an unmetalled road. The level of newly constructed road is considerably higher than adjoining area. At the time of construction of road, no provision was made for proper flow or drainage of



CWP-33767-2025

-2-

rain water to the other side. Consequently, during rainy season, water accumulates and causes irreparable loss of crops. The residential accommodation is also adversely affected. The petitioner has made a spate of representations to Authorities pointing out his grievance. Respondent No.4- Executive Engineer (Sub-Division), PWD (B&R) Branch vide letter dated 22.08.2023 sought approval of respondent No.3-Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Dhuri for installation of underground pipelines. The respondents are sitting over the matter and petitioner along with other persons is suffering badly.

4. Learned State counsel submits that as per affidavit dated 09.03.2026 needful has already been done. The petitioner himself has communicated that work prayed for in the writ petition has already been executed.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner does not dispute afore-stated factual position.

6. In the wake of statement of both sides, the petition stands disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

10.03.2026

Mohit Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No