



CRM-M-63921-2025
CRM-M-12889-2026
CRM-M-14272-2026

-1-

159

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

1. CRM-M-63921-2025

Vinod KumarPetitioner

versus

State of Haryana Respondent

2. CRM-M-12889-2026

Jaswinder SinghPetitioner

versus

State of HaryanaRespondent

3. CRM-M-14272-2026

Surender @ Ravi @ Mota @ Surrender SinghPetitioner

versus

State of HaryanaRespondent

Date of decision: 27.03.2026

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. G.S. Sandhu, Advocate (in CRM-M-63921-2025)
Mr. Kushager Goyal, Advocate (in CRM-M-12889-2026) and
Mr. Satbir Singh Gill, Advocate (in CRM-M-14272-2026)
for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Diya Sodhi, Sr. D.A.G., Haryana.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. By way of this common order, this Court intend to dispose of
abovesaid three petitions as they have arisen out of the same FIR.

2. Petitioners have approached this Court by way of filing the
present petitions praying for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.0731 dated

29.10.2024 under Sections 126, 309(4), 309(6) of BNS (Sections 311 & 61



CRM-M-63921-2025
CRM-M-12889-2026
CRM-M-14272-2026

-2-

of BNS added lateron), registered at Police Station City Sirsa, District Sirsa.

3. Succinctly, the facts of the present case are that the FIR in question had been registered on the statement of the complainant, namely, Jasvir Yadav. It was alleged that the complainant was employed at Radiant Cash management Company, CE. It was alleged that on 29.10.2024 at about 12:25 p.m., while he was travelling on a motorcycle bearing No.HR-24U-1735, after having collected a total amount of Rs.3,39,948/- from different Amazon stores, he was robbed after covering approximately 400 meters on Rangri Road. It was alleged that the robbery was allegedly committed by three young men standing by the roadside, who were armed with a wooden stick and a hockey and took away the money bag. Thus, request was made to take legal action against all the accused. On registration of the FIR, the investigation commenced. During investigation, complicity of the petitioners surfaced and petitioners-Vinod Kumar and Jaswinder Singh (in CRM-M-63921-2025 and CRM-M-12889-2026, respectively) were arrested on 03.11.2024 and petitioner-Surender @ Ravi @ Mota @ Surrender Singh (in CRM-M-14272-2026) was arrested on 31.10.2024. On completion of investigation, challan presented and charges were framed. The petitioners approached the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Sirsa, for grant of bail, however, after hearing both the sides, the said relief was declined to him by the trial Court vide orders dated 04.08.2025, 25.02.2026 and 21.11.2025, respectively. Aggrieved by the same, the petitioners are before this Court by way of filing the present petitions for grant of concession of regular bail.

4. Learned counsels for the petitioners, at the outset, prays for the



CRM-M-63921-2025
CRM-M-12889-2026
CRM-M-14272-2026

-3-

grant of bail on the basis of parity with that of the co-accused. They have drawn the attention of this Court to the order dated 16.02.2026 passed by this Court in **CRM-M-13671-2025**, whereby co-accused of the petitioners, namely, Rajender Singh, has been granted the concession of bail. It is submitted that cases of the petitioners are at par with that of the co-accused, who has been granted bail by this Court. It is thus, submitted that on the basis of the parity, petitioners deserve to be granted bail as case of the petitioners are similar to that of the co-accused, who has already been granted bail.

5. *Per contra*, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by counsels for the petitioners. She has endorsed the fact that admittedly the cases of petitioners are at par with co-accused, namely, Rajender Singh, who has already been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 16.02.2026. She has placed on record the custody certificate of petitioner-Jaswinder Singh.

6. This Court has heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record. The petitioners are behind bars since the date of their arrest. As per the custody certificate, petitioner-Jaswinder Singh has suffered an incarceration of 01 year, 04 months and 24 days as on 26.03.2026. It further reflects that he has no criminal antecedents.

7. The veracity of the allegations would be assessed only after the conclusion of the trial and on the appreciation of evidence to be led by both the parties before the trial Court.

8. This Court would refrain itself from commenting anything on the merits of the case. The trial of the case will take sufficient long time.



CRM-M-63921-2025
CRM-M-12889-2026
CRM-M-14272-2026

-4-

Keeping in view the arguments raised by both the sides and perusing the record, this Court is of the opinion that learned counsels for the petitioners succeed in making out a case for grant of regular bail to the petitioners on the basis of parity.

9. Accordingly, present petitions are allowed and the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail on their furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate. Nothing said herein shall be treated as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

10. In case the bail bonds are not furnished by petitioner-Vinod Kumar (in CRM-M-63921-2025) and petitioner-Surender @ Ravi @ Mota @ Surrender Singh (in CRM-M-14272-2026), during the period of 07 days from today, then their further custody period after one week will not be counted in the present case.

27.03.2026

ps-I

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/No