

**132 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

2026 PHHC 036232



**CRM-M-63765-2025 (O&M)**

**Date of Decision: 10.03.2026**

**KULDEEP SINGH**

**... PETITIONER**

**VERSUS**

**STATE OF HARYANA**

**... RESPONDENT**

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL**

Present:- Mr. Simranjeet Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.  
(through video conferencing)

**H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)**

1. The petitioner is seeking regular bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS) in FIR No.0022 dated 14.02.2020, under Sections 406, 420 and 506 of IPC, registered at P.S. Jhansa, District Kurukshetra.

2. The case of the prosecution is that petitioner along with his co-accused cheated the complainant and several other candidates for approx. ₹52 lacs, on the pretext of getting them appointed in the Railways Department. It is further alleged that the petitioner and his co-accused also issued fake appointment letters in order to induce and deceive them.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. No recovery has been effected from the petitioner. The petitioner is in custody since 04.06.2025.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Rakesh Kumar Jangra, A.A.G., Haryana accepts notice on

behalf of the State and vehemently opposes the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner on the ground of gravity of allegations. Out of total 42 prosecution witnesses, only two have been examined so far.

6. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

7. After hearing the rival contentions of the learned counsel for the parties and keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case that the petitioner is in custody for the last more than 09 months; the matter is triable by the Magistrate; out of total 42 prosecution witnesses, only two have been examined so far; the conclusion of trial is likely to take a long time and continuous detention of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice, this Court deems it fit to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial.

8. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

9. It is clarified that while on bail so granted through the instant order the petitioner is found indulging in any other criminal case, it shall be open to the State to seek cancellation of his bail.

**(H.S.GREWAL)**  
**JUDGE**

**10.03.2026**

Janki

|                           |   |        |
|---------------------------|---|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | : | Yes/No |