



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

213

CRM-M-62910-2025 (O & M)

Date of decision: 20.01.2026

SANJEEV KUMAR GOYAL AND ANOTHER

....Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Jashandeep Singh Bains, Advocate and
Mr. Rupinder Singh, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Arun Kumar Goyat, Advocate for
Mr. Himmat Singh Deol, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 BNSS for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in case FIR No.264 dated 15.09.2025, registered at Police Station Civil Lines, Bathinda, under Sections 420 and 120-B IPC.

2. On 12.01.2026, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the matter stands compromised, a fact which is affirmed by learned counsel for the complainant and states that he has no objection for grant of anticipatory bail.

Learned counsel contends that the petitioners are ready and willing to join the investigation as and when required by the investigating agency and will cooperate.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Meanwhile, the petitioners are directed to join the investigation on or before 16.01.2026. In the event

of their arrest, they shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 482(2) BNSS.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioners do not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.

Adjourned to 20.1.2026.”

3. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the aforementioned order, the petitioners have not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioners to appear, they shall make themselves available without demur. The cases filed by him against respondent No.2 stand withdrawn, however, needful has not been done by the latter.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Iqbal Singh affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioners and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioners are not required for further custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioners is allowed and the order dated 12.01.2026 granting interim bail to them, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioners fail to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to them.

20.01.2026

parveen kumar

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No