

2026:PHHC:032314



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

107

CRM M-64855-2024
Date of Decision : 27.02.2026

Jagdeep Singh @ Jagjit SinghPetitioner(s)
Versus
State of PunjabRespondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE N.S.SHEKHAWAT

Present:- Mr. Parminder Singh Sekhon, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Rajdeep Singh Gill, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. M.S. Bajwa, DAG, Punjab.

N.S.SHEKHAWAT (Oral):

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 with a prayer to grant regular bail to him in case FIR No.90 dated 28.06.2020 registered under Sections 22 ©, 25, 29 of the NDPS Act at Police Station Tapa Mandi, District Barnala.
2. Learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of petitioner submits that as per the admitted case of the prosecution, co-accused Amrik Singh was apprehended at the spot while he was carrying 2420 intoxicating tablets of Clovidol-100 SR and drug money of Rs. 5000/-

was also recovered. The petitioner was not present at the place of alleged recovery. Rather, it has been shown that he had fled from the place of recovery and has been wrongly named only on the basis of suspicion. He submits that petitioner was arrested in the present case on 28th August 2024 and he is in custody for the last one year and six months. He next contests that the petitioner was never involved in any other crime and he is a first offender. Moreover, the main accused Amrik Singh was arrested at the spot and he has been granted the concession of bail.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that there are serious allegations against the petitioner and the petitioner is not entitled for the concession of regular bail.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

5. It is not in dispute that the principal accused Amrik Singh has already been granted the concession of bail by this Court. The petitioner has also suffered incarceration for 01 year, 05 months and 28 days and the trial is at an initial stage and further custody of the petitioner will not serve any purpose.

6. In view of the above, without commenting any further on the merits, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the

satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate/CJM concerned.

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

27.02.2026
amit rana

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No