

115 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

2026:PHHC:043458

CR-8059-2025
Date of Decision: 19.03.2026

SHAM SUNDER Vs. ...Petitioner
RAVISH PABBI AND ANOTHER ...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present: None.

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner despite the matter having been called out twice today. A perusal of the record further reveals that on the previous dates of hearing as well, i.e., on 29.01.2026 and 11.12.2025, none appeared on behalf of the petitioner. It is also noted that on 11.11.2025, learned counsel for the petitioner had sought an adjournment on the ground of filing an amendment in the petition.

2. Despite sufficient opportunity having been granted, there is continued absence and lack of diligence in prosecuting the matter. In these circumstances, it can reasonably be inferred that the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the present petition any further.

3. Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

19.03.2026
kv

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No