



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No. 35036 of 2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 26.05.2026

Ajaib Singh (since deceased) through LRs
..... Petitioners

Versus

Union of India and others
..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Ranjit Saini, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Suvir Kumar, Advocate
for respondent No. 2-NHAI.

Mr. Gunjan Mehta, Additional Advocate General, Punjab
for respondent Nos. 3 & 4.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (ORAL)

CM-3893-CWP-2026

Application for placing on record reply dated 05.03.2026 on
behalf of respondent(s)-NHAI is **allowed**. The same is taken on record.
Copy thereof be supplied to the opposite side.

Registry to do the needful.

MAIN CASE

The petitioners, by way of present petition, seek issuance of a writ in the nature of *Mandamus*, thereby directing the respondents to pay solatium @ 100% alongwith interest in terms of Section 30 read with Schedule I of The Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013.

[2] Upon notice, reply on behalf of respondent No. 2 has been filed.

[3] At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the present matter is squarely covered by the judgment dated **06.04.2026** passed by this Court in *CWP No. 6255 of 2024* titled as “*Sukhdev Singh Versus Union of India and others*”

[4] Learned counsel(s) for the respondent(s) are not in a position to controvert the above factual position.

[5] After going through the judgment passed by this Court referred to above as well as the facts of the present case, this Court agrees with the assertion of the learned counsel for the petitioners.

[6] Consequently, the present writ petition is **disposed of** in the same terms as *Sukhdev Singh’s case (supra)*.

[7] Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

May 26, 2026
'dk kamra'

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No