



105
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

ARB-677-2025(O&M)
Date of Decision: 19.02.2026

M/S PKV T AND A JCC JV

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

THE DIVISIONAL ENGINEER CIVIL PUDA/ADA AMRITSAR
DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY AMRITSAR AND ANOTHER

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present: Mr. Dheeraj Mahajan, Advocate, for the petitioner.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel appearing on behalf petitioner submitted that the issue regarding the competence as to which Court would extend the mandate of the Arbitrator under Section 29-A of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act 1996 has since been decided by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Jagdeep Chowgule vs. Sheela Chowgule and others, 2026 SCC OnLine SC 124*. It has been held that the competent Court for the purpose of Section 29-A would be the Court as defined under Section 2(1)(e) of the Act.

2. In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for withdrawal of the present petition.

3. Dismissed as withdrawn.

4. Liberty is granted to the petitioner to file an appropriate application under Section 29-A of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 before the competent Court as defined under Section 2(1)(e) of the Act, in accordance with law.

19.02.2026

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)

rakesh

JUDGE

Whether speaking

:

Yes/No

Whether reportable

:

Yes/No