



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

206

CRM-M-62835-2025

PARDEEP SINGH

...PETITIONER

V/s

STATE OF HARYANA

...RESPONDENT

Date of decision: 02.02.2026

Date of uploading: 02.02.2026

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Ankur Jain, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Priyanka Sadar, Senior DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Manvinder Sidhu, Advocate for the complainant.

SUMEET GOEL, J.

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.249 dated 05.06.2023 registered for offences punishable under Sections 148, 149, 323, 324, 447, 427 and 506 IPC (Section 307 IPC later on added) at Police Station Sadar Sirsa, District Sirsa.

2. On 03.12.2025, the following order was passed:-

“Prayer in this petition under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.249 dated 05.06.2023 registered at Police Station Sadar Sirsa, District Sirsa, under Sections 148, 149, 323, 324, 447, 427 and 506 IPC (Section 307 IPC later on added).

Both the parties have been heard and material collected by the police during investigation has been perused. The present case has been registered on the basis of complaint given to the police by Gurjant Singh with the allegation that on 04.06.2023 at about 06.00



P.M. he received a phone call from his brother Nepal Singh that the passage to their fields has been blocked by Nasib Singh and Jaspal Singh along with their sons and 5-6 other persons. Thereafter, he dialled 112 and he along with his father proceeded towards their fields. He dropped his father near village Ragdi and he himself went to the field and when he reached there, he saw his brother lying on the ground. Jaspal Singh was armed with a kapa, Nasib Singh was armed with a gandasi, one person was having a rifle in his hand and remaining persons were having rods and dandas. Nasib Singh, Jaspal Singh and 3-4 persons attacked his tractor and the tractor got damaged and he ran towards his dhani. Thereafter, police arrived with his father and all the assailants ran away from the spot in tractors and verna vehicle along with the weapon of offence. Nepal Singh was taken to the hospital for treatment where he was got admitted and he sought action against them. During investigation, the injured was got medically examined and he was found to have suffered nine injuries and doctor has given the opinion that the injuries, if taken, together collectively are dangerous to life. Apprehending arrest petitioner has applied for the bail which has been rejected.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that petitioner has been falsely implicated and he has not been named in the FIR. The co-accused namely Nasib Singh, who was named in the FIR and was armed with the gandasi has been extended the benefit of anticipatory bail by the trial Court vide order dated 02.12.2023 (Annexure P-4). No specific injury is attributed to the petitioner and general allegations have been levelled against him. Petitioner has been nominated as an accused by main accused Jaspal Singh, who has also been released on regular bail by Coordinate Bench of this Court passed in CRM-M-40276-2024 (Annexure P-2). Petitioner is ready to join the investigation and to abide by the conditions that may be imposed by the Court and benefit of anticipatory bail be extended in his favour.

On the other hand, learned State counsel assisted by learned counsel for the complainant argued that petitioner has committed heinous offence and his name has been disclosed by co-accused Jaspal Singh as he was amongst one of the assailants, who caused injuries to the victim. Victim has suffered injuries which have been declared as dangerous to life. Learned counsel further contended that initially police had apprehended him, but his family members created ruckus and got him freed from the custody of the police regarding which separate FIR has been registered and he has been evading the proceedings for the last about two years and in view of the gravity of offence, the petitioner does not deserves concession of anticipatory bail.

Petitioner has not been named in the FIR. His name has cropped up in the disclosure statement of co-accused namely Jaspal Singh, who has already been released on regular bail. One co-accused namely Nasib Singh, who had caused injuries with the gandasi has been



extended the benefit of anticipatory bail by the trial Court itself. No specific injury is attributed to the petitioner.

Adjourned to 02.02.2026 for filing status report.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and in the event of his arrest, he shall be admitted to ad-interim bail on furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer, subject to the following conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of the BNSS [erstwhile Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.]:-

- i) that the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;*
- ii) that the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;*
- iii) that the petitioner shall not leave India without the prior permission of the Court;*
- iv) such other condition as may be imposed under sub-section (3) of Section 480, as if the bail were granted under that section.*

3. Status report by way of an affidavit of Rajesh Kumar, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sadar Sirsa, District Sirsa has been filed on behalf of respondent-State. The same be taken on record. Copy thereof has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner. Learned State counsel, on instructions, has stated that pursuant to the order dated 03.12.2025, the petitioner has joined investigation and is no longer required for custodial interrogation.

4. Learned counsel for the complainant has vehemently opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner by arguing that the allegations raised against the petitioner are direct/serious in nature and hence he ought not to be extended the concession of anticipatory bail. He has further iterated that in case the petitioner is extended the concession of anticipatory bail, there is all the likelihood that he may interfere with the investigation as also intimidate the investigation/witnesses.



5. Keeping in view the factual milieu of the case in hand; especially the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated therein and is no longer required by the State for further custodial interrogation, this Court is inclined to confirm the order dated 03.12.2025 granting anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS.
6. Ordered accordingly.
7. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.
8. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 or upon showing any other sufficient cause.
9. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.
10. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

02.02.2026
jatin

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No