

2026:PHHC:012104



**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

213

CRM-M-62837-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 28.01.2026

AJAY ALIAS CHOTA ALIAS RENCHO ALIAS SONU

... Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. GREWAL.

Present: Mr. Saurabh Dalal, Advocate
for the petitioner, *(through video conference)*.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking regular bail for the petitioner in FIR No.673 dated 20.09.2018 under Sections 307, 120-B and 34 IPC and Section 25/54/59 of Arms Act, 1959 registered at Police Station Sadar Bahadurgarh, District Jhajjar.

2. Although it is not mentioned in the head note, but a careful perusal of the record shows that the petitioner has approached this Court challenging the order dated 26.09.2025 passed by the trial Court whereby his application for grant of regular bail has been dismissed.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was regularly appearing before the trial Court on each and every date. He further submits that the absence of the petitioner before the trial Court on 13.08.2025 was not intentional rather due to the reason that he had been arrested by the UP Police in another case, in which he remained in custody from 20.07.2025 to 14.08.2025. He further submits that the petitioner had surrendered before the trial Court on 03.09.2025 and had moved an application for regular bail, which was dismissed on the same day. He moved another bail application before the trial Court on 24.09.2025 (wrongly mentioned as 24.08.2025 in the impugned

order dated 26.09.2025), which was also dismissed by the trial Court vide impugned order. Hence, the present petition.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Rakesh Kumar Jangra, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and prays for dismissal of the present petition on the ground that the petitioner is a habitual offender as he is facing trial in more than 18 cases, which arise out of heinous offences. Moreover, while being on bail in the present case, he has committed one more offence of similar nature.

6. I have heard the submissions made by the learned counsel for the parties and gone through the case file.

7. After hearing both sides, this Court is of the view that the petitioner has misused the concession of bail already granted by the trial Court. Not only this, he breached the terms and conditions of bail by indulging him in similar offence i.e. under Section 109(1) of BNS (erstwhile Section 307 of IPC). Moreover, the custody certificate of the petitioner shows that he is involved in multiple number criminal cases, which are of serious nature and depicts that the petitioner is a hardcore criminal. Hence, keeping in view the conduct of petitioner, no ground is made out to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner at this stage.

8. Dismissed.

9. Other misc. application(s), if any, also stand(s) disposed of accordingly.

JANUARY 28, 2026.

Rajender

**(H.S. GREWAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No